

CLEANNES AND LOG-CHARACTERISTIC CYCLES, I: VECTOR BUNDLES WITH FLAT CONNECTIONS

LIANG XIAO

ABSTRACT. Let X be a proper smooth algebraic variety over a field k of characteristic zero and let D be a divisor with strict simple normal crossings. For M a vector bundle over $X - D$ with a flat connection having possible irregular singularities along D , we define a cleanness condition which says that the singularities are controlled by the ones along the generic points of D . When this condition is satisfied, we compute explicitly the associated logarithmic characteristic cycle. As a corollary of a log-variant of Kashiwara-Dubson formula, we obtain the Euler characteristic of the de Rham cohomology of the vector bundle.

CONTENTS

Introduction	1
Notation and convention	3
1. Log-characteristic cycles	4
1.1. General framework of characteristic cycles	4
1.2. $\mathcal{D}$ -modules and logarithmic variants	5
1.3. Holonomicity v.s. log-holonomicity	8
1.4. Logarithmic Kashiwara-Dubson formula	9
2. Nonarchimedean differential modules	10
2.1. Differential modules over a field	11
2.2. Differential modules over $R_{n,m}$	15
2.3. Cleanness condition	19
3. Main theorem	24
3.1. Statement of the main theorem	24
3.2. Overall strategy of the proof	26
3.3. Local calculation using good formal structures	28
3.4. Local calculation in the clean case	29
References	32

INTRODUCTION

Let X be a proper smooth algebraic variety of dimension n over $\mathbb{C}$ (for simple presentation) and let D be a divisor with strict simple normal crossings. We denote $U = X - D$. Let M be a vector bundle over U with a flat connection having possibly irregular singularities along D . We may define the Euler characteristic of the de Rham cohomology of M as

$$\chi_{\mathrm{dR}}(M) = \sum_{i=0}^{2n} (-1)^i \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^i(U, M \otimes \Omega_U^\bullet)$$

When X is a projective curve of genus $g(X)$ and D is a finite set of closed points, Deligne and Gabber [Katz90, Theorem 2.9.9] gave a formula to compute the Euler characteristic:

$$\chi_{\mathrm{dR}}(M) = \mathrm{rank}(M)\chi(U) - \sum_{x \in D} \mathrm{Irr}_x(M),$$

where $\chi(U) = 2 - 2g(X) - \#D$ is the topological Euler characteristic of U and $\mathrm{Irr}_x(M)$ is the irregularity of M at x .

This formula says that the Euler characteristic of M is given by some geometric information (the first term) corrected by some ramification information (the second term). It is a natural question to ask for higher dimensional analogues of this.

The first step was taken by Kato [Kato94], where he gave a higher dimensional analogue of the formula above for line bundles with flat connections, under some cleanness condition. For example, when X has dimension 2 and the irregularity of M along each irreducible component D_j is r_j , then the Euler characteristic of M is

$$(0.1) \quad \chi_{\text{dR}}(M) = \text{rank}(M)\chi(U) - \sum_j r_j \chi(D_j^\circ) + \sum_{j,j'} r_j r_{j'} (D_j \cdot D_{j'})$$

where $D_j^\circ = D_j \setminus (\cup_{j' \neq j} D_{j'})$, $(D_j \cdot D_{j'})$ is the intersection number, and $\chi(\cdot)$ is the topological Euler characteristic.

The cleanness type condition is *necessary* for such kind of formula. However, to rigorously define what cleanness means is very subtle. We start by explaining how to understand the meaning of the cleanness condition. A caveat is that these points of view lead to *inequivalent* definitions of cleanness; we list them from the strongest to the weakest. (Proofs maybe found in Section 2: Theorem 2.2.10 for (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2), Theorem 2.3.12 for (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3), and Proposition 3.2.6 for (3) $\Rightarrow$ (4).)

(1) At the formal neighborhood of each closed point of X and up to a tamely ramified extension, M has a “good formal structure” (see [Ked10b, Ked11]); vaguely speaking, it can be written as a direct sum of some differential modules which are tensor products of regular differential modules with some “simple and explicit” rank one (irregular) differential modules.

(2) At the formal neighborhood of each closed point of X , the function(s) parameterizing irregularities along exceptional divisors of toroidal blowups are linear, if appropriately normalized. This is a weak version of Kedlaya’s “numerical cleanness” [Ked10b, Theorem 4.4.2].

(3) The ramification of at each closed point of D is “controlled” by the ramification at generic points of D . In particular, there is no expected contribution to the Euler characteristic from codimension ≥ 2 strata. This is the cleanness condition we will work with throughout this paper. One expects this definition generalize to analogous positive characteristic situation. (See [?].)

(4) In terms of log-characteristic cycle, cleanness should imply that the log-characteristic variety associated to M consists of only zero sections of the log-cotangent bundle and some line bundles over D ; in other words, there is nothing of relative dimension ≥ 2 over a point on X .

The aim of this paper is to generalize Kato’s result to vector bundles of arbitrary rank. (See Theorem 3.1.4 and Corollary 3.1.5 for the precise statement.) Roughly speaking, in computing Euler characteristic, we may “pretend” that the differential module is a direct sum of rank 1 modules with specified ramification properties. (But note that the corresponding r_j ’s in (0.1) may not be integers any more. So it is a priori not clear why we get an integer.)

The basic strategy is to compute the log-characteristic cycles of M explicitly and then obtain the Euler characteristic from a log-variant of Kashiwara-Dubson formula. As pointed out in (4), the log-characteristic cycle will be zero sections of the log-cotangent bundle and some line bundles over D . (They are usually *not* the conormal bundles of the divisors because of the log-structure. See Caution 1.2.4.) The multiplicities of these line bundles are determined by the irregularities, and the position of the line bundles in the log-cotangent bundle is determined by so-called refined irregularities. When computing the Euler characteristic, the positions of these line bundles no longer matter and hence, the refined irregularities do not show up in the final form of the Euler characteristic formula.

There are several essential difficulties we need to overcome.

(a) The theory of logarithmic $\mathcal{D}$ -modules is *not* entirely classical. For one thing, the push-forward of M from U to X may not be $\mathcal{D}_X^{\log}$ -coherent. (The trouble comes from the piece with regular singularities; see 1.2.3.) For another, we do not have the log-holonomicity (since we do not even have coherence at first place) and Bernstein inequality. To get around this issue, we define log-holonomicity and log-characteristic cycles to be the “limit” over all finitely generated $\mathcal{D}_X^{\log}$ -submodules. We prove by a trick of Bernstein that holonomicity implies log-holonomicity (in

the above sense). Then we show that the log-variant of Kashiwara-Dubson formula works just as the usual one, but using the new log-characteristic cycles.

(b) Kato worked with line bundles, where one can choose a generator of the line bundle and everything may be written down explicitly. When working with higher rank vector bundles, one has to use the full power of the theory of differential modules, developed by Kedlaya and the author [KX10, Ked10b, Xi09+]. We prove that the descriptions of cleanness satisfies $(1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (4)$. (We also point out that the first two implications are strict, but $(2) \Leftrightarrow (3)$ at those points which are intersections of exactly n irreducible components of D . See Remark 2.3.11.)

(c) The computation of log-characteristic cycles is more delicate than Kato's original computation. In our case, we need to first compute the underlying variety of the (log-)characteristic cycles; for this, one need to pass to the formal neighborhood of a closed point and check that, over this point (not the formal neighborhood), the log-characteristic variety agrees with the conjectural one obtained from refined irregularities. This proves the equality on characteristic varieties. To get the multiplicities, we pass to the generic points of D and do a more careful study in this case.

The cleanness condition is a very strong condition to impose on X . Kedlaya [Ked10b, Ked11] and independently Mochizuki [Mo08+] proved that there exists a proper birational morphism $f : X' \rightarrow X$ which is an isomorphism when restricted over U , such that $f^{-1}(D)$ is a divisor with simple normal crossings and f^*M has a good formal model on X' (i.e., satisfying condition (1)). But one does not have control over how bad f could be over D . For example, it is still open that this morphism f may be taken functorially with respect to smooth morphisms. From another point of view, this is a type of (weak) resolution of singularities for M , that is to find a “good compactification” of U (where M lives on) so that all the ramification information of M is exposed in codimension 1 strata.

As the first paper in a sequel, we mention that there are similar stories over a field of characteristic $p > 0$, considering lisse ℓ -adic sheaves or overconvergent F -isocrystals. See [?] for more details. However, we restrict ourself to characteristic zero in this paper.

Structure of the paper. In Section 1, we study the theory of logarithmic $\mathcal{D}$ -modules. We give the definition of log-holonomicity for not necessarily finitely generated $\mathcal{D}_X^{\log}$ -modules and define log-characteristic cycles for vector bundles with flat connections. In Section 2, we first review the theory of nonarchimedean differential modules and make some generalizations for our need. Then we define various cleanness conditions and discuss their relations. In Section 3, we state and prove the main theorem, which compute the log-characteristic cycles of vector bundles with flat connections under the cleanness condition.

Acknowledgement. We thank Kiran Kedlaya for helpful discussions and sharing ideas. We thank Kazuya Kato for his groundbreaking paper [Kato94], on which the calculation of this paper is based on. We thank Matthew Morrow for suggesting the lecture notes on $\mathcal{D}$ -modules by Braverman and Chmutova, which inspired the proof of Theorem 1.3.1. We thank Adriano Marmora and Jérôme Poineau for organizing the wonderful conference on *Berkovich space and p -adic differential equations*; it stimulates the current project. We thank Daniel Caro, Dennis Gaitsgory, Bin Li, Chenyang Xu, Zhiwei Yun, and Weizhe Zheng for inspirations and interesting discussions. I thank Tsinghua Mathematics Science Center and Morningside Center of Mathematics for their hospitality when I visited, during which time I started to work on this paper.

Notation and convention.

Throughout this paper, we use k to denote a field of characteristic zero. We use $\mathbb{N}$ to denote the set of nonnegative integers.

For an integral (formal) scheme X over k , let $\mathcal{O}_X$ denote the structure sheaf on X and let $k(X)$ denote the field of rational functions on X . We use $|X|$ to denote the set of closed points. Over an affine (formal) scheme X , we freely use the same notation to denote a quasi-coherent sheaf and its global section, and we will freely interchange the two ways of presentations. For X affine and $u \in \mathcal{O}_X$, we use $V(u)$ to denote the closed (formal) subscheme associated to $\mathcal{O}_X/(u)$. When X is affine, for x a closed point of X , we use $\mathfrak{m}_x$ to denote the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_X$ corresponding to x .

A *smooth pair* (X, D) consists of an irreducible smooth variety over k and a divisor D with strict simple normal crossings. A morphism $f : (X', D') \rightarrow (X, D)$ between two smooth pairs is a morphism $f : X' \rightarrow X$ of varieties such that $f(D') \subseteq D$. Given a smooth pair (X, D) , we equip it with the natural log-structure. If D_j is an irreducible component of D and D_j^c is the union of other irreducible components of D , then $(D_j, D_j \cap D_j^c)$ is again a smooth pair (with the natural log structure).

Unless otherwise stated, all differentials and derivations are continuous, and are relative to k .

We will frequently say vector bundles to mean locally free sheaves of finite rank. For a locally free coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ over a scheme X , we let $\text{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^\bullet \mathcal{F}^\vee$ denote the sheaf of symmetric algebra over $\mathcal{F}^\vee$; the associated scheme is just the vector bundle associated to $\mathcal{F}$. A connection ∇ on a vector bundle M over a smooth scheme U is called *integrable* if the composition of ∇ with the induced morphism $\nabla^{(1)} : M \otimes \Omega_U^1 \rightarrow M \otimes \Omega_U^2$ is zero. Here we choose to use the notation “integrable” instead of “flat” because we want to avoid the confusing with the algebro-geometric meaning of flatness.

In this paper, we only implicitly use non-logarithmic cycles/varieties in Subsection 1.3. Aside from this, we will exclusively discuss logarithmic cycles/varieties. We will try to emphasize this as often as possible. But we sometimes give in for simpler notation, e.g. $\text{Car}(M)$ and $\text{ZCar}(M)$.

1. LOG-CHARACTERISTIC CYCLES

1.1. General framework of characteristic cycles. We discuss some slightly general framework of filtered rings and their characteristic cycles. The results here are elementary and are probably in the literature (e.g. [Lau83]), but it might be hard to extract the exact statements we need. For completeness, we reproduce them here for the convenience of readers.

We do not have to assume the base field has characteristic zero. One can check that all statements work for positive characteristics too.

1.1.1. Filtered rings. Let $(D, \text{fil}_\bullet D)$ be an increasingly filtered possibly non-commutative k -algebra. We assume the following:

- (i) $\text{fil}_\alpha D = 0$ if $\alpha < 0$, $D = \cup_{\alpha \geq 0} \text{fil}_\alpha D$;
- (ii) $\text{gr}_\bullet D$ is a *commutative noetherian* k -algebra (which implies that D itself is noetherian).

A homomorphism $f : (D', \text{fil}_\bullet D') \rightarrow (D, \text{fil}_\bullet D)$ between two such filtered k -algebras is called *strict* if the filtration on D' is exactly the filtration induced by f . A homomorphism $f : (D', \text{fil}_\bullet D') \rightarrow (D, \text{fil}_\bullet D)$ induces a homomorphism $\text{gr}_\bullet : \text{gr}_\bullet D' \rightarrow \text{gr}_\bullet D$.

We often write D_0 for $\text{fil}_0 D$; it is a commutative noetherian k -algebra.

A standard example to keep in mind is the ring of differential operators $\mathcal{D}_X$ defined later.

1.1.2. Definition. For M a D -module, a filtration $\text{fil}_\bullet M$ on M is called *admissible* if

- (i) $\text{fil}_\alpha M = 0$ when $\alpha \ll 0$ and $M = \cup_\alpha M_\alpha$,
- (ii) each M_α is D_0 -coherent, and
- (iii) $\text{fil}_\alpha D \cdot \text{fil}_\beta M \subseteq \text{fil}_{\alpha+\beta} M$.

We call it *good* if it is admissible and it satisfies either of the following equivalent conditions:

- (iv) $\text{gr}_\bullet M$ is a finitely generated $\text{gr}_\bullet D$ -module, or
- (iv') There exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\text{fil}_\alpha M = \text{fil}_N D \cdot \text{fil}_{\alpha-N} M + \cdots + \text{fil}_1 D \cdot \text{fil}_{\alpha-1} M.$$

1.1.3. Definition. Let M be a finitely generated D -module. It is well-known that M has a good filtration $\text{fil}_\bullet M$. Define the *characteristic variety* $\text{Car}(M) = \text{Car}_D(M)$ to be the support of $\text{gr}_\bullet M$ as a $\text{gr}_\bullet D$ -module; it is a closed subscheme of $\text{Spec}(\text{gr}_\bullet D)$. We define the *characteristic cycle* to be

$$\text{ZCar}(M) = \text{ZCar}_D(M) = \sum \text{length}(\text{gr}_\bullet(M)_\eta) \overline{\{\eta\}},$$

where the sum is taken over all generic points η of irreducible components of $\text{Car}(M)$. These do not depend on the choice of good filtrations. (See for example, [HTT08, Lemma D.3.1])

1.1.4. Remark. If $M' \subseteq M$ is a sub- D -module, we have $\text{Car}(M') \subseteq \text{Car}(M)$. Note that this does not imply that the generic points of $\text{Car}(M')$ is a subset of those of $\text{Car}(M)$. If moreover $\text{Car}(M') = \text{Car}(M)$, the multiplicity of $\text{ZCar}(M)$ at each generic point of $\text{Car}(M)$ is greater or equal to the corresponding multiplicity of $\text{ZCar}(M')$.

1.1.5. Lemma. *Let $f : (D, \text{fil}_\bullet D) \rightarrow (D', \text{fil}_\bullet D')$ be a strict morphism as in 1.1.2 such that D'_0 is flat over D_0 and $\text{gr}_\bullet f$ induces an isomorphism $\text{gr}_\bullet D' \simeq \text{gr}_\bullet D \otimes_{D_0} D'_0$. We write*

$$g : \text{Spec}(\text{gr}_\bullet D') = \text{Spec}(\text{gr}_\bullet D) \times_{\text{Spec}(D_0)} \text{Spec}(D'_0) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\text{gr}_\bullet D)$$

for the natural projection. For M a finitely generated D -module, we denote $M' = D' \otimes_D M$. Then we have $\text{ZCar}(M') = g^*(\text{ZCar}(M))$.

Proof. By induction on α and using the flatness of D'_0 over D_0 , the homomorphism f induces natural isomorphisms $D'_0 \otimes_{D_0} \text{fil}_\alpha D \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{fil}_\alpha D'$ as left D'_0 -modules for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$. This implies that, we have $D'_0 \otimes_{D_0} D \simeq D'$ as left D'_0 - and right D -modules. As a consequence, we have a natural isomorphism $D'_0 \otimes_{D_0} M \xrightarrow{\sim} M'$ as left D'_0 -modules; we identify them.

Now, we choose a good filtration $\text{fil}_\bullet M$ on M with respect to D . We define a filtration on M' (under the identification) by $\text{fil}_\alpha M' = D'_0 \otimes_{D_0} \text{fil}_\alpha M$, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$. This filtration obviously satisfies conditions (i) and (ii) of Definition 1.1.2. Moreover, we have $\text{gr}_\bullet M' \simeq D'_0 \otimes_{D_0} \text{gr}_\bullet M$. Hence, to check Definition 1.1.2(iii), it suffices to prove that the action of $\text{gr}_\bullet D' \simeq D'_0 \otimes_{D_0} \text{gr}_\bullet D$ on $\text{gr}_\bullet M'$ is the one induced by the action of $\text{gr}_\bullet D$ on $\text{gr}_\bullet M$. Indeed, this follows from the fact that for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$, any $x \in \text{fil}_\alpha D$ and any $a \in D'_0$, we have $xa - ax \in \text{fil}_{\alpha-1} D' \simeq D'_0 \otimes_{D_0} \text{fil}_{\alpha-1} D$. This shows that $\text{fil}_\bullet M'$ is a good filtration.

The fact that $\text{gr}_\bullet M' \simeq D'_0 \otimes_{D_0} \text{gr}_\bullet M$ implies that $\text{Car}(M') = g^{-1}(\text{Car}(M))$. Since g is flat, it satisfies the Going-down property ([Eis95, Lemma 10.11]). So, if an irreducible component of $\text{Car}(M)$ in $\text{Spec}(\text{gr}_\bullet D)$ intersects with the image of g , its generic point must be in the image too. Hence, we have $\text{ZCar}(M') = g^*(\text{ZCar}(M))$. $\square$

We record the following homological algebra result for future reference.

1.1.6. Proposition. *Let $(D, \text{fil}_\bullet D)$ be as in 1.1.2 and assume that $\text{gr}_\bullet D$ is regular of pure dimension n over k . Let M be any finitely generated left D -module. Then we have*

$$\min\{j \mid \text{Ext}_D^j(M, D) \neq 0\} = n - \dim(\text{Car}(M)).$$

Proof. This is classical. See for example [Alg-D, Theorem V.2.2]. $\square$

1.1.7. Remark. A standard trick using the above proposition is due to Bernstein: one takes two different filtrations $\text{fil}_\bullet$ and $\text{fil}'_\bullet$ on D such that $\text{gr}_\bullet D$ and $\text{gr}'_\bullet D$ are both regular of pure dimension n over k . For a finitely generated left D -module, we may compute two characteristic cycles $\text{Car}(M)$ and $\text{Car}'(M)$ separately with respect to each of the two filtrations on D . Then $\dim(\text{Car}(M)) = \dim(\text{Car}'(M))$ because they both equal to a number independent of the choice of the filtrations.

1.2. $\mathcal{D}$ -modules and logarithmic variants. In this subsection, we recall the definition of characteristic cycles for algebraic $\mathcal{D}$ -modules and their logarithmic variants. We warn the readers that the theory for logarithmic characteristic cycles is *not* entirely classical. For one thing, the logarithmic $\mathcal{D}$ -modules associated to a vector bundle with an integrable connection are *not* finitely generated logarithmic $\mathcal{D}$ -modules (see Caution 1.2.3); for another, even without the first issue, the log-holonomicity is quite delicate and is *not* even defined (see also Caution 1.2.4).

1.2.1. Local setup. We consider the following three local situations at the same time. Let $m \leq n$ be two natural numbers.

(a) (Geometric) Let X be a smooth affine variety with local parameters $x_1, \dots, x_n$, that is an étale morphism $p : X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$, where $x_1, \dots, x_n$ are standard coordinates of $\mathbb{A}^n$. Let $D = p^{-1}(V(x_1 \cdots x_m))$. Then (X, D) form a smooth pair.

(b) (Formal) Let $X = \text{Spec}(R_{n,0})$ with $R_{n,0} = k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ and let $D = V(x_1 \cdots x_m)$.

(c) (CDVF) Let $X = \text{Spec}(k(D)[[x_1]])$, where $k(D)$ is a finite extension of $k(x_2, \dots, x_n)$ and $D = V(x_1)$. We set $m = 1$ in this case.

In either case, we denote $U = X - D$ and $j : U \hookrightarrow X$ the natural morphism. We have $\Omega_X^1 \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}_X dx_i$. Its $\mathcal{O}_X$ -dual is the sheaf of differential operators of order 1, with dual basis $(\partial_i = \partial/\partial x_i)_{i=1, \dots, n}$; they are mutually commutative derivations. The sheaf of logarithmic differential forms is

$$\Omega_X^1(\log D) = \Omega_X^1 + \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \mathcal{O}_X \frac{dx_i}{x_i} \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \mathcal{O}_X \frac{dx_i}{x_i} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=m+1}^n \mathcal{O}_X dx_i.$$

An $\mathcal{O}_X$ -dual basis of $\Omega_X^1(\log D)$ is given by $x_1\partial_1, \dots, x_m\partial_m, \partial_{m+1}, \dots, \partial_n$; they are also mutually commutative derivations.

By taking to the completion at a closed point, one can pass from (a) to (b); by taking the completion along the generic point of D_1 , one can pass from (a) or (b) to (c).

1.2.2. Definition. Keep the notation as above. Let $\mathcal{D}_X^{(\log)}$ be the sheaf of rings of (*logarithmic*) differential operators on X (over k); it is generated by $\mathcal{O}_X$ and derivations $\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n$ (resp. $x_1\partial_1, \dots, x_m\partial_m, \partial_{m+1}, \dots, \partial_n$).

We define the filtration $\mathcal{D}_{X,\bullet}^{(\log)}$ on $\mathcal{D}_X^{(\log)}$ given by the order of differential operators, i.e. $\mathcal{D}_{X,n}^{(\log)} = \{D \in \mathcal{D}_X^{(\log)} \mid D \text{ as a differential operator has order } \leq n\}$. In particular, $\mathcal{D}_{X,n}^{(\log)} = 0$ if $n < 0$. The filtrations induce canonical isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{gr}_\bullet(\mathcal{D}_X) \simeq \mathrm{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^\bullet(\Omega_X^{1,\vee}), \quad \mathrm{gr}_\bullet(\mathcal{D}_X^{(\log)}) \simeq \mathrm{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^\bullet(\Omega_X^1(\log D)^\vee)$$

We define the *logarithmic cotangent bundle* to be $T^*X^{\log} = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathrm{Sym}(\Omega_X^1(\log D)^\vee))$.

Now, we may apply the discussion of previous subsection to define, for a *finitely generated* $\mathcal{D}_X^{\log}$ -module M , its *logarithmic characteristic cycle* $\mathrm{ZCar}(M)$. (Here we omit the superscript $\log$ because we will exclusively study logarithmic characteristic cycles in this paper.)

1.2.3. Caution. Given M a vector bundle over U with an integrable connection, it is *not* true in general that j_*M is a finitely generated $\mathcal{D}_X^{\log}$ -module! For example, if $M = \mathcal{O}_U$ is the structure sheaf with trivial connection, $j_*\mathcal{O}_U$ is *not* coherent unless $U = X$. Vaguely speaking, the nature of this trouble is caused by “regular part” of M ; whereas the “irregular part” of M is considered good. To get around this trouble, we need to extend the definition of log-holonomicity to not necessarily finitely generated $\mathcal{D}_X^{\log}$ -modules. (See Definition 1.2.6.)

When M is known to be regular along an irreducible component of D , one can avoid this non-finitely generated issue by taking the so-called Deligne-Malgrange extension. However, in the situation of mixing regular and irregular, we do not know any sensible way of extending the vector bundle. An even worse scenario is when M is irregular along some irreducible component of D generically, but when restricted to some particular curve (meeting this component transversally), M becomes regular.

1.2.4. Caution. We also remark that the Bernstein inequality *fails* for logarithmic $\mathcal{D}$ -modules. For example, $X = \mathrm{Spec}(k[x])$, $D = V(x)$, and $M = \frac{1}{x}k[x]/k[x] \approx k$. It is a $\mathcal{D}_X^{\log}$ -module (but *not* a $\mathcal{D}_X$ -module) because $\mathcal{D}_X^{\log}$ is generated by $x\partial_x$ (instead of ∂_x). One computes easily that $\mathrm{ZCar}(X)$ is just the original point of $T^*X^{\log}$, which has dimension 0.

Gaitsgory pointed out to me that the failure of Bernstein inequality is related to the fact that the Poisson structure on $T^*X^{\log}$ is degenerate over D . Moreover, the degeneration of Poisson structure is also reflected in that irreducible components of the characteristic variety are not positioned to be conormal bundles.

Another minor point is that taking log-characteristic cycles may not be additive for direct sums of “log-holonomic” $\mathcal{D}_X^{\log}$ -modules because the lower dimensional pieces might be “eaten up” by bigger dimensional ones; but the Euler characteristic is still additive.

1.2.5. Definition. Assume that we are in the geometric local situation 1.2.1(a). Let M be a (not necessarily finitely generated) $\mathcal{D}_X^{\log}$ -module. We say that M is *log-holonomic* if for *any* finitely generated $\mathcal{D}_X^{\log}$ -submodule $M_0 \subseteq M$, we have $\dim \mathrm{Car}(M_0) \leq n$. Because of the lack of Bernstein inequality (Caution 1.2.4), this inequality may be strict.

When M comes from a vector bundle with an integrable connection, one can refine this definition and define log-characteristic cycles.

1.2.6. Definition. Assume that we are in the local setup 1.2.1. Let M be a vector bundle over U with an integrable connection. We choose a *coherent* $\mathcal{O}_X$ -submodule M_0 of j_*M such that $M_0|_U = M$. Let $\widetilde{M}_0 = \mathcal{D}_X^{\log} \cdot M_0$ denote the $\mathcal{D}_X^{\log}$ -submodule of j_*M generated by M_0 ; it is automatically a coherent $\mathcal{D}_X^{\log}$ -module. Define the *log-characteristic cycle* of j_*M to be $\mathrm{ZCar}(j_*M) := \mathrm{ZCar}(\widetilde{M}_0)$,

the log-characteristic cycle of $\widetilde{M}_0$ as a $\mathcal{D}_X^{\log}$ -module. This is independent of the choice of M_0 by applying Lemma 1.2.7 below.

1.2.7. Lemma. *Let M be a vector bundle over U with an integrable connection. As above, we choose coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$ -submodules M_0 and M'_0 of j_*M such that $M_0|_U = M'_0|_U = M$, and we form $\widetilde{M}_0 = \mathcal{D}_X^{\log} \cdot M_0$ and $\widetilde{M}'_0 = \mathcal{D}_X^{\log} \cdot M'_0$. Then, we have $\mathrm{ZCar}(\widetilde{M}_0) = \mathrm{ZCar}(\widetilde{M}'_0)$.*

Proof. First, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(x_1 \cdots x_m)^N M_0 \subseteq M'_0 \subseteq (x_1 \cdots x_m)^{-N} M_0$. By Remark 1.1.4 (first matching the supports of two cycles and then checking the multiplicity at each generic point), it suffices to prove the lemma for the case $M'_0 = (x_1 \cdots x_m)^N M_0$ for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

Consider the homomorphism $\phi : M \rightarrow M$ given by $\phi(x) = (x_1 \cdots x_m)^N x$. It induces an isomorphism between M_0 and M'_0 . We have $\phi(x_i \partial_i(x)) = x_i \partial_i(\phi(x)) - N\phi(x)$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $x_i \partial_i(\phi(x)) = \phi(x_i \partial_i(x))$ for $i = m+1, \dots, n$.

Note that, for $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{D}_{X,\alpha}^{\log}$ is also generated over $\mathcal{O}_X$ by polynomials in $x_1 \partial_1 - N, \dots, x_m \partial_m - N, \partial_{m+1}, \dots, \partial_n$ of degree $\leq \alpha$. This implies that $\phi(\mathcal{D}_{X,\alpha}^{\log} \cdot M_0) = \mathcal{D}_{X,\alpha}^{\log} \cdot M'_0$. In particular, $\phi(\widetilde{M}_0) = \widetilde{M}'_0$. We take a good filtration $\mathrm{fil}_\bullet \widetilde{M}_0 = \mathcal{D}_{X,\alpha}^{\log} \cdot M_0$ of $\widetilde{M}_0$. Then $\mathrm{fil}'_\bullet := \phi(\mathrm{fil}_\bullet \widetilde{M}_0)$ is also a good filtration for $\widetilde{M}'_0$.

Moreover, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, and any $a \in \mathrm{fil}_\alpha \widetilde{M}_0$, we have $\phi(x_i \partial_i(a)) - x_i \partial_i(\phi(a)) = -N\phi(a) \in \mathrm{fil}'_{\alpha+1} \widetilde{M}'_0$ which dies in $\mathrm{gr}'_{\alpha+1} \widetilde{M}'_0$. Hence the action of $x_i \partial_i$ (for $i = 1, \dots, n$) is compatible with the isomorphism $\mathrm{gr}_\bullet \phi : \mathrm{gr}_\bullet \widetilde{M}_0 \rightarrow \mathrm{gr}_\bullet \widetilde{M}'_0$. In other words, $\mathrm{gr}_\bullet \phi : \mathrm{gr}_\bullet \widetilde{M}_0 \rightarrow \mathrm{gr}_\bullet \widetilde{M}'_0$ is an isomorphism of $\mathrm{gr}_\bullet \mathcal{D}_X^{\log}$ -modules. The statement of the lemma follows. $\square$

1.2.8. Remark. It would be interesting to know if one can define logarithmic cycles for a more general class of holonomic $\mathcal{D}_X$ -modules. (See also Theorem 1.3.1.)

Also, it would be interesting to know if $\mathrm{Car}(j_*M)$ always has pure dimension n . We will prove in Theorem 3.1.4 that this is the case if M is clean in the sense of Definition 2.3.6.

1.2.9. Corollary. *Assume that we are in one of the following situations:*

(i) *We are in the geometric local setup 1.2.1(a). Let z be a closed point of $p^{-1}(\{0\})$. Then we have a natural morphism $g : X' = \mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{X,z}^\wedge \rightarrow X$; g^*M may be viewed as a vector bundle over $U' = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,z}^\wedge[1/x_1 \cdots x_m])$. Write $j' : U' \rightarrow X'$ for the natural embedding.*

(ii) *We are in geometric or formal setup 1.2.1(a)(b). Let η_1 denote a generic point of D_1 . We consider the natural morphism $g : X' = \mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{X,\eta_1}^\wedge \rightarrow X$; g^*M may be viewed as a vector bundle over $U' = \mathrm{Spec}(k(X)^{\wedge, \eta_1})$. Write $j' : U' \rightarrow X'$ for the natural embedding.*

(iii) *We are in any local situation 1.2.1. Let X'' be étale over X and let $X' = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X''}[x_1^{1/h_1}, \dots, x_m^{1/h_m}])$ for some positive integers $h_1, \dots, h_m$. We have a natural morphism $g : X' \rightarrow X$ and g^*M becomes a vector bundle over $U' = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X'}[1/x_1 \cdots 1/x_m])$; write $j' : U' \rightarrow X'$ for the natural embedding.*

Then we have $\mathrm{ZCar}(j'_* g^* M) = \tilde{g}^*(\mathrm{ZCar}(j_* M))$, where $\tilde{g} : T^*X'^{\log} \rightarrow T^*X^{\log}$ is the natural morphism.

Proof. This follows from combining Lemma 1.1.5 with Lemma 1.2.7. $\square$

1.2.10. Global situation. Let (X, D) be a smooth pair, i.e., X is a smooth variety of dimension n over k and $D = \bigcup D_j$ is a divisor with strict simple normal crossings, where D_j are irreducible components of D . Denote $U = X - D$. Here *strict simple normal crossings* means that we can cover X by open subvarieties $\{V_i\}$, each of which is as in the geometric local situation 1.2.1(a). For each i , let $j_i : V_i \cap U \rightarrow V_i$ be the natural embedding. By Corollary 1.2.9(iii), the definition of logarithmic cotangent bundle on each V_i glues and gives the *logarithmic cotangent bundle* $T^*X^{\log}$. Also, there is a quasi-coherent sheaf $\mathcal{D}_X^{\log}$ of k -algebras whose restriction to each V_i is $\mathcal{D}_{V_i}^{\log}$.

1.2.11. Definition. Let M be a vector bundle over U with an integrable connection or a coherent $\mathcal{D}_X^{\log}$ -module. We define the *log-characteristic variety* (resp. *log-characteristic cycle*) of j_*M or M to be the subvariety (resp. cycle) of $T^*X^{\log}$ whose restriction to V_i is the log-characteristic variety (resp. log-characteristic cycle) of $j_*(M|_{V_i \cap U})$ or $M|_{V_i}$. We denote them by $\mathrm{Car}(j_*M)$ and $\mathrm{ZCar}(j_*M)$ or $\mathrm{Car}(M)$ and $(\mathrm{ZCar}(M))$, respectively.

1.3. Holonomicity v.s. log-holonomicity. In this subsection, we study the relation between holonomicity and log-holonomicity. The goal of this subsection is to prove the following.

1.3.1. Theorem. *Assume that we are in the geometric local situation 1.2.1(a). Let M be a holonomic $\mathcal{D}_X$ -module, and hence also a (not necessarily finitely generated) $\mathcal{D}_X^{\log}$ -module. Then as a $\mathcal{D}_X^{\log}$ -module, M is log-holonomic in the sense of Definition 1.2.5.*

Proof. The proof uses a standard trick of Bernstein, which we found in the lecture notes of Braverman and Chmutova [BC]. We thank them for sharing their deep understanding of algebraic $\mathcal{D}$ -modules.

We first prove this theorem when $X = \mathbb{A}^n$ and $D = V(x_1 \cdots x_m)$. In this case,

$$\mathcal{D}_X = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]\{\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n\} \text{ and } \mathcal{D}_X^{\log} = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]\{x_1\partial_1, \dots, x_m\partial_m, \partial_{m+1}, \dots, \partial_n\},$$

where the curly brackets mean that the corresponding k -algebras are not commutative and the generators satisfy natural relations. We provide the two k -algebras with new filtrations: for $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$, $\text{fil}'_{\alpha}\mathcal{D}_X$ is the k -vector subspace of $\mathcal{D}_X$ generated by $s_1 \cdots s_{\alpha}$, where each $s_i \in \{\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n\}$; and $\text{fil}'_{\alpha}\mathcal{D}_X^{\log}$ is the k -vector subspace of $\mathcal{D}_X^{\log}$ generated by $s_1 \cdots s_{\alpha}$, where each $s_i \in \{x_1, \dots, x_n, x_1\partial_1, \dots, x_m\partial_m, \partial_{m+1}, \dots, \partial_n\}$. In other words, we require each x_i to have degree 1 instead of 0. With respect to the new filtrations, we have

$$\text{gr}'_{\bullet}\mathcal{D}_X = k[x_1, \dots, x_n, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n] \text{ and } \text{gr}'_{\bullet}\mathcal{D}_X^{\log} = k[x_1, \dots, x_n, \xi_1^{\log}, \dots, \xi_n^{\log}],$$

where ξ_i is a proxy of ∂_i for each i and $\xi_i^{\log}$ is a proxy of $x_i\partial_i$ if $i \leq m$ and of ∂_i if $i > m$. In particular, they are all free commutative polynomial rings with $2n$ variables.

By Proposition 1.1.6 and Remark 1.1.7, we know the holonomicity of M with respect to the filtration $\text{fil}'_{\bullet}\mathcal{D}_X$ and we need only to prove that, for any finitely generated $\mathcal{D}_X^{\log}$ -submodule $M_0 \subseteq M$, we have $\dim(\text{Car}'(M_0)) \leq n$ for the new filtration $\text{fil}'_{\bullet}\mathcal{D}_X^{\log}$.

Now, applying (the argument of) the numerical Lemma 1.3.2 below to $\mathcal{D}_X$ and M , we get a filtration $\text{fil}'_{\bullet}M$ good for $\text{fil}'_{\bullet}\mathcal{D}_X$ such that $\dim_k \text{fil}'_{\alpha}M \leq c\alpha^n$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ and for some fixed constant $c > 0$. Then for any finitely generated $\mathcal{D}_X^{\log}$ -submodule $M_0 \subseteq M$, we define a filtration by $\text{fil}'_{\alpha}M_0 = \text{fil}'_{2\alpha}M \cap M_0$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$; it is admissible (but almost never good). However, we have $\dim_k \text{fil}'_{\alpha}M_0 \leq \dim_k \text{fil}'_{2\alpha}M \leq c \cdot 2^n \cdot \alpha^n$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$. Apply the other direction of Lemma 1.3.2, we have $\dim(\text{Car}'(M_0)) \leq n$.

Now, we reduce the general case to the special case we studied above. First, we recall that X comes with an étale morphism $p : X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$. It is well-known that p_*M is still holonomic for $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{A}^n}$ and hence the above argument implies that p_*M is log-holonomic for $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{A}^n}^{\log}$. By Lemma 1.1.5(a), we know that p^*p_*M is also log-holonomic for $\mathcal{D}_X^{\log}$. The natural homomorphism $M \rightarrow p^*p_*M$ is injective, yielding the log-holonomicity of M itself. $\square$

1.3.2. Lemma. *Let $(D, \text{fil}_{\bullet}D)$ be an abstract filtered k -algebra as in 1.1.1 such that $\text{gr}_{\bullet}D \simeq k[x_1, \dots, x_{2n}]$ is a free commutative polynomial algebra with $2n$ variables. Let M be a finitely generated D -module. Then for any $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $\dim(\text{Car}(M)) \leq r$ if and only if there exists an admissible filtration $\text{fil}_{\bullet}M$ on M and a constant $c \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that*

$$\dim_k(\text{fil}_{\alpha}M) \leq c\alpha^r, \text{ for all } \alpha \geq 1.$$

Proof. We found this lemma in the lecture notes on algebraic $\mathcal{D}$ -modules by Braverman and Chmutova [BC, Corollary 2.10]. Since this is not a proper reference, we include the proof here.

We first assume that $\dim(\text{Car}(M)) \leq r$. We provide M with a good filtration and the condition implies that the function $h(\alpha) = \dim_k(\text{fil}_{\alpha}M)$ is the Hilbert polynomial for $\text{gr}_{\bullet}M$ when $\alpha \gg 0$. In particular, $h(\alpha) = c_1\alpha^s + \text{lower degree terms}$, where $s = \dim(\text{Car}(M)) \leq r$. This gives the estimate we want.

Conversely, if $\dim_k \text{fil}_{\alpha}M \leq c\alpha^r$ for some admissible filtration $\text{fil}_{\bullet}M$. Choose $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\text{fil}_{\beta}M$ generates M (as a left D -module) and define a (good) filtration on M by $\text{fil}'_{\alpha}M = \text{fil}_{\alpha}M$ if $\alpha \leq \beta$ and $\text{fil}'_{\alpha}M = \text{fil}_{\alpha-\beta}D \cdot \text{fil}_{\beta}M$ if $\alpha > \beta$. Now, $\dim_k(\text{fil}'_{\alpha}M) \leq \dim_k(\text{fil}_{\alpha}M) \leq c\alpha^r$ if $\alpha \geq 1$. By the Hilbert polynomial argument above, we have $\dim(\text{Car}(M)) \leq r$. $\square$

1.3.3. Remark. In the formal or CDVF situation 1.2.1(b)(c), we do not know whether the analogous Theorem 1.3.1 still holds.

1.4. Logarithmic Kashiwara-Dubson formula. The classical Kashiwara-Dubson formula expresses the Euler characteristic of the de Rham cohomology of a holonomic $\mathcal{D}_X$ -module in terms of the intersection number of the characteristic cycle with the zero section of the cotangent bundle. However, its logarithmic variant is more delicate because we need to deal with $\mathcal{D}_X^{\log}$ -modules which are not finitely generated. We restrict ourself to the case of $\mathcal{D}_X^{\log}$ -modules coming from a vector bundle with an integrable connection (see Theorem 1.4.8).

1.4.1. Theorem (Kashiwara-Dubson). *Assume that X is proper and M is a coherent log-holonomic $\mathcal{D}_X$ -module. Then the Euler characteristic of the log-de Rham cohomology of M is*

$$\chi_{\text{dR}}(M) := \sum_{i=1}^{2n} (-1)^i \dim H^i(X, M \otimes \Omega_X^\bullet(\log D)) = (-1)^n \cdot \deg([X], \text{ZCar}(M))_{T^*X^{\log}},$$

where $[X]$ is the zero section and $(\cdot, \cdot)_{T^*X^{\log}}$ is the intersection in $T^*X^{\log}$.

Proof. This theorem is still in the classical realm; its proof may be found in many references (e.g., [Lau83]). $\square$

1.4.2. Remark. Assume that we are in the global situation 1.2.10. Let M be a vector bundle over U with an integrable connection. By Lemma 1.2.7, we know that $\text{ZCar}(j_*M)$ is well-defined and does not depend on the choice of the coherent $\mathcal{D}_X^{\log}$ -submodules $\widetilde{M}_0$ of M . One may try to naïvely take the direct limit of the above theorem over all such M_0 to compute the Euler characteristic of j_*M . However, one has to verify that each cohomological group stabilizes in the direct limit. This is the content of the rest of this subsection. More precisely, we want to show that for “sufficiently large” $\widetilde{M}_0$, the natural morphism $\widetilde{M}_0 \otimes \Omega_X^\bullet(\log D) \rightarrow j_*M \otimes \Omega_X^\bullet(\log D)$ is a quasi-isomorphism. For this, we work locally.

1.4.3. Proposition. *Assume that we are in the geometric local situation 1.2.1(a). As in Definition 1.2.6, let M_0 be a coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$ -submodule of j_*M such that $M_0|_U = M$. Denote $\widetilde{M}_0 = \mathcal{D}_X^{\log} \cdot M_0$. Then for an integer $N \gg 0$, the natural morphism*

$$(1.1) \quad (x_1 \cdots x_m)^{-N} \widetilde{M}_0 \otimes \Omega_X^\bullet(\log D) \rightarrow j_*M \otimes \Omega_X^\bullet(\log D)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism.

We will prove this proposition in 1.4.7, but we need a lemma first.

1.4.4. Notation. For a k -variety V , we use $Z_r K(\mathcal{O}_V)$ to denote the Grothendieck group of the category consisting of coherent $\mathcal{O}_V$ -modules whose supports have dimension $\leq r$.

1.4.5. Notation. For $\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m) \in \mathbb{N}^m$ (or simply $\underline{N}$ if $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_m = N$), we denote

$$\widetilde{M}_{\underline{\lambda}} = x_1^{-\lambda_1} \cdots x_m^{-\lambda_m} \widetilde{M}_0 = \mathcal{D}_X^{\log} \cdot (x_1^{-\lambda_1} \cdots x_m^{-\lambda_m} M_0).$$

For $\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m) \in \mathbb{N}^m$, we have a natural isomorphism $\phi_{\underline{\lambda}} : \widetilde{M}_0 \rightarrow \widetilde{M}_{\underline{\lambda}}$ sending $z \mapsto x_1^{-\lambda_1} \cdots x_m^{-\lambda_m} z$ for $z \in \widetilde{M}_0$, and we have $x_i \partial_i(\phi_{\underline{\lambda}}(z)) = \phi_{\underline{\lambda}}(x_i \partial_i(z)) - \lambda_i \phi_{\underline{\lambda}}(z)$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $\partial_i(\phi_{\underline{\lambda}}(z)) = \phi_{\underline{\lambda}}(\partial_i(z))$ for $i = m+1, \dots, n$. This identifies $\widetilde{M}_{\underline{\lambda}}$ with $\widetilde{M}_0$ except the action of $x_i \partial_i$ is shifted by $-\lambda_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$.

1.4.6. Lemma. *For any $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the complex*

$$(1.2) \quad \widetilde{M}_{\underline{\lambda}} \otimes \Omega_X^\bullet(\log D) \rightarrow x_i^{-1} \widetilde{M}_{\underline{\lambda}} \otimes \Omega_X^\bullet(\log D)$$

is acyclic if $\lambda_i \geq N$.

Proof. We may assume that $i = 1$. By log-holonomicity Theorem 1.3.1, picking a good filtration $\text{fil}_\bullet \widetilde{M}_{\underline{\lambda}}$ on $\widetilde{M}_{\underline{\lambda}}$ and taking graded modules give rise to an element $\mathfrak{M}_0 \in Z_n K(\mathcal{O}_{T^*X^{\log}})$; it does not depend on the choice of the good filtrations. Denote

$$\Omega' = \mathcal{O}_X/(x_1) \frac{dx_2}{x_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_X/(x_1) \frac{dx_m}{x_m} \oplus \mathcal{O}_X/(x_1) dx_{m+1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_X/(x_1) dx_n;$$

it corresponds to a closed subscheme $[X'] = \text{Spec}(\text{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}_X/(x_1)}^\bullet(\Omega^{\vee}))$ of the log-cotangent bundle $T^*X^{\log}$. For $s = 0, \dots, n-1$, we denote $\Omega'^s = \bigwedge^s \Omega'$.

We first consider the complex

$$\mathbf{K}_{\underline{\lambda}}^\bullet = \text{Cone}(\widetilde{M}_{\underline{\lambda}} \otimes \Omega'^\bullet \rightarrow x_1^{-1} \widetilde{M}_{\underline{\lambda}} \otimes \Omega'^\bullet)[-1];$$

we give each of its terms a filtration by

$$\text{fil}_\alpha((x_1)^{-\delta} \widetilde{M}_{\underline{\lambda}} \otimes \Omega'^s) := (x_1)^{-\delta} \text{fil}_{\alpha+s} \widetilde{M}_{\underline{\lambda}} \otimes \Omega'^s,$$

where $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$ and $s \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$. Then the graded complex $\text{gr}_\bullet \mathbf{K}_{\underline{\lambda}}^\bullet$ is exactly the Koszul complex for $[X'] \subset T^*X^{\log}$ tensored with $\text{gr}_\bullet \widetilde{M}_{\underline{\lambda}}$. Hence the image of the cohomology groups $H^\bullet(\text{gr}_\bullet \mathbf{K}_{\underline{\lambda}}^\bullet)$ in $K(\mathcal{O}_{[X']})$ actually lies in $Z_0 K(\mathcal{O}_{[X']})$ because the class $\mathfrak{M}_0$ of $\text{gr}_\bullet \widetilde{M}_{\underline{\lambda}}$ lies in $Z_n K(\mathcal{O}_{T^*X^{\log}})$. This implies that the cohomology groups $H^\bullet(\mathbf{K}_{\underline{\lambda}}^\bullet)$ are also finite dimensional. In particular, when $\underline{\lambda} = \underline{0}$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that no eigenvalues of $x_1 \partial_1$ acting on $H^\bullet(\mathbf{K}_{\underline{0}}^\bullet)$ are integers greater than or equal to N .

We need to show that the same holds for all $\underline{\lambda} \in \mathbb{N}^m$. Note that the isomorphism $\phi_{\underline{\lambda}}$ does not induce a morphism between the complexes $\mathbf{K}_{\underline{0}}^\bullet$ and $\mathbf{K}_{\underline{\lambda}}^\bullet$; however, it does induce an isomorphism on the graded level:

$$\text{gr}_\bullet(\mathbf{K}_{\underline{0}}^\bullet) \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\text{gr}_\bullet \phi_{\underline{\lambda}}} \text{gr}_\bullet(\mathbf{K}_{\underline{\lambda}}^\bullet).$$

The action of $x_1 \partial_1$ is compatible with $\text{gr}_\bullet \phi_{\underline{\lambda}}$ in the following way: $x_1 \partial_1(\text{gr}_\bullet \phi_{\underline{\lambda}}(z)) = \text{gr}_\bullet \phi_{\underline{\lambda}}(\partial_1(z)) - \lambda_1 \text{gr}_\bullet \phi_{\underline{\lambda}}(z)$ for any z in $\text{gr}_\bullet(\mathbf{K}_{\underline{0}}^\bullet)$. By our choice of N , we see that, when $\lambda_1 \geq N$, the action of $x_1 \partial_1$ is invertible on the cohomology $H^\bullet(\text{gr}_\bullet \mathbf{K}_{\underline{\lambda}}^\bullet)$, and hence is invertible on $H^\bullet(\mathbf{K}_{\underline{\lambda}}^\bullet)$. Note that the complex (1.2) is isomorphic to $\mathbf{K}_{\underline{\lambda}}^\bullet \xrightarrow{x_1 \partial_1} \mathbf{K}_{\underline{\lambda}}^\bullet$, and hence acyclic. $\square$

1.4.7. Proof of Proposition 1.4.3. To prove the proposition, it suffices to prove that for an integer $n \gg 0$, the natural morphism $\widetilde{M}_{\underline{n}} \otimes \Omega_X^\bullet(\log D) \rightarrow \widetilde{M}_{\underline{n+1}} \otimes \Omega_X^\bullet(\log D)$ is a quasi-isomorphism and then taking limit implies that (1.1) is a quasi-isomorphism. (Note that $\widetilde{M}_{\underline{n}}$ as an $\mathcal{O}_X$ -submodule of $j_* M$ is $x_1 \cdots x_m$ torsion free and hence, the limit is taken termwise on the complex.)

We take $N \in \mathbb{N}$ that Lemma 1.4.6 works for all $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Then for any $n \geq N$, we have a quasi-isomorphism

$$\widetilde{M}_{\underline{n}} \otimes \Omega_X^\bullet(\log D) \xrightarrow{\sim} x_1^{-1} \widetilde{M}_{\underline{n}} \otimes \Omega_X^\bullet(\log D) \xrightarrow{\sim} \cdots \xrightarrow{\sim} (x_1 \cdots x_m)^{-1} \widetilde{M}_{\underline{n}} \otimes \Omega_X^\bullet(\log D) = \widetilde{M}_{\underline{n+1}} \otimes \Omega_X^\bullet(\log D).$$

This finishes the proof of Proposition 1.4.3.

1.4.8. Theorem. *Assume that we are in the global situation 1.2.10 and assume that X is proper. Let M be a vector bundle over U with an integrable connection. Then the Euler characteristic of M is*

$$\chi_{\text{dR}}(M) := \sum_{i=1}^{2n} (-1)^i \dim H^i(U, M \otimes \Omega_U^\bullet) = (-1)^n \cdot \deg([X], \text{ZCar}(j_* M))_{T^*X^{\log}}$$

Proof. We first note that $H^i(U, M \otimes \Omega_U^\bullet) \simeq H^i(X, j_* M \otimes \Omega_X^\bullet(\log D))$. Now, we take a coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$ -submodule M_0 of $j_* M$. By Proposition 1.4.3, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if we denote $\widetilde{M}_N = \mathcal{D}_X^{\log} \cdot (M_0(ND))$, the natural morphism $\widetilde{M}_N \otimes \Omega_X^\bullet(\log D) \rightarrow j_* M \otimes \Omega_X^\bullet(\log D)$ is a quasi-isomorphism. The statement follows from classical Kashiwara-Dubson formula:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{\text{dR}}(M) &= \sum_{i=1}^{2n} (-1)^i \dim H^i(X, j_* M \otimes \Omega_X^\bullet(\log D)) = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} (-1)^i \dim H^i(X, \widetilde{M}_N \otimes \Omega_X^\bullet(\log D)) \\ &= (-1)^n \cdot \deg([X], \text{ZCar}(\widetilde{M}_N))_{T^*X^{\log}} = (-1)^n \cdot \deg([X], \text{ZCar}(j_* M))_{T^*X^{\log}}. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

2. NONARCHIMEDEAN DIFFERENTIAL MODULES

In this section, we first recall the theory of nonarchimedean differential modules, and then we discuss various definitions of cleanness conditions.

2.1. Differential modules over a field. We first recall the definition of irregularities and refined irregularities. Some of our setup is made specific to residual characteristic zero. For more details or a general treatment including positive residual characteristic case, one may consult [KX10, Xi09+].

2.1.1. Notation. For $(F, |\cdot|)$ a nonarchimedean field, we use $\mathfrak{o}_F$ and κ_F to denote the ring of integers and the residue field, respectively. For $s \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, we denote $\mathfrak{m}_F^{<s} = \{x \in F \mid |x| < s\}$, $\mathfrak{m}_F^{\leq s} = \{x \in F \mid |x| \leq s\}$, and $\kappa_F^{[s]} = \mathfrak{m}_F^{\leq s} / \mathfrak{m}_F^{<s}$; in particular, $\kappa_F^{[1]} = \kappa_F$.

In case when F is discretely valued, we fix a uniformizer π_F . We frequently write $\pi_F^b \kappa_{F^{\text{alg}}}$ for $b \in \mathbb{Q}$ to mean $\kappa_{F^{\text{alg}}}^{[\lceil \pi_F^b \rceil]}$. This should not cause any ambiguity.

2.1.2. Notation. For $(F, |\cdot|)$ a discrete valuation field, we use $F[[t]]_0$ to denote the ring of bounded functions on an open unit disc over F . Put it another way, $F[[t]]_0 = \mathfrak{o}_F[[t]]_{[\frac{1}{\pi_F}]}$.

2.1.3. Setup. Let $(F, |\cdot|)$ be a complete nonarchimedean field with residual characteristic zero. (We do not exclude the case when F is trivially normed.) Assume that F admits n commuting derivations $\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n$ of *rational type*, i.e. there exist elements $x_1, \dots, x_n \in F$ (called *rational parameters*) such that

$$\partial_i(x_j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j, \end{cases} \quad \text{and the operator norm } |\partial_i|_F = |x_i|^{-1} \text{ for any } i.$$

A $(\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n)$ -*differential module* (or simply *differential module*) over F is a finite dimensional F -vector space V with commuting actions of $\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n$, satisfying the Leibniz rule.

2.1.4. Remark. We remark that the condition $\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n$ being of rational type with respect to $x_1, \dots, x_n$ is preserved if

- (i) we replace F by a finite extension [KX10, Lemma 1.4.5], or
- (ii) we replace F by the completion of $F(t)$ with respect to η -Gauss norm for some η and declare $\partial_j(t) = 0$ for any j .

In particular, if we take $\eta = |x_j|$ in the second case, then $\partial_1, \dots, t\partial_j, \dots, \partial_n$ are rational type with respect to $x_1, \dots, x_j/t, \dots, x_n$.

2.1.5. ∂ -radii. We first assume that $n = 1$ and write ∂ for ∂_1 and x for x_1 .

For V a differential module over F , we define the ∂ -radius and *intrinsic ∂ -radius* of V to be

$$R_\partial(V) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} |\partial^s|_V^{1/s}, \text{ and } IR_\partial(V) = |x|^{-1} \cdot R_\partial(V),$$

where $|\partial^s|_V$ is the operator norm for a fixed F -compatible norm $|\cdot|_V$ on V . The definition of (intrinsic) ∂ -radii does not depend on the choice of the norm $|\cdot|_V$.

We say that V has *pure (intrinsic) ∂ -radii* if all Jordan-Hölder constituents of V (as ∂ -differential modules) have the same ∂ -radius. By [KX10, Theorem 1.4.21], V can be uniquely written as the direct sum of differential modules with distinct *pure ∂ -radii*.

2.1.6. Partially intrinsic radii. For general n , we will specify a *log-structure*, that is a subset Log of $\{\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n\}$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\text{Log} = \{\partial_1, \dots, \partial_m\}$ for a fixed natural number $m \leq n$. We write $\text{Log}^* = \{\frac{dx_1}{x_1}, \dots, \frac{dx_m}{x_m}, dx_{m+1}, \dots, dx_n\}$.

If V is a differential module, we define the *partially intrinsic radius* (or *intrinsic radius* if $m = n$) to be

$$IR^\sharp(V) = \max\{IR_{\partial_1}(V), \dots, IR_{\partial_m}(V), R_{\partial_{m+1}}(V), \dots, R_{\partial_n}(V)\};$$

here we singled out derivatives $\partial_1, \dots, \partial_m$ in the log-structure Log to take their intrinsic radii instead of radii.

In general, by [KX10, Theorem 1.5.6], V may be (uniquely) written as the direct sum $V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_r$ of differential modules, where each V_i has *pure ∂_j -radii* for all j . We define the *partially intrinsic subsidiary radii* (or *intrinsic subsidiary radii* if $m = n$) to be the multiset $\mathcal{IR}^\sharp(V)$ consisting of $IR^\sharp(V_i)$ with multiplicity $\dim V_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Let $IR^\sharp(V) = IR^\sharp(V; 1) \leq \dots \leq IR^\sharp(V; \dim V)$ denote the elements of $\mathcal{IR}^\sharp(V)$ in increasing order. We say that V has *pure (partially) intrinsic radii* if $\mathcal{IR}^\sharp(V)$ consists of $\dim V$ copies of $IR^\sharp(V)$.

2.1.7. Irregularities. Assume that F is discretely valued, $x_1 = \pi_F$, and $\partial_1 \in \text{Log}$. Assume moreover that $x_2, \dots, x_n \in \mathfrak{o}_F^\times$. We define the *subsidiary irregularities* $\text{Irr}(V; i) = \log_{|\pi_F|} \text{IR}^\sharp(V; i)$ and $\text{Irr}(V) = \{\text{Irr}(V) = \text{Irr}(V; 1), \dots, \text{Irr}(V; \dim V)\}$; they are nonnegative rational numbers. In case that they are the same rational number, we say that V has *pure irregularities*. If $\text{Irr}(V) = 0$, we say that V is *regular*. We remark that all definitions about irregularities do not depend on the log-structure as long as $\partial_1 \in \text{Log}$.

2.1.8. Refined radii and refined irregularities. Let V be a differential module over F . We fix a log-structure as in 2.1.6. We further assume that $|x_{m+1}| \leq 1, \dots, |x_n| \leq 1$.

We first assume that V has pure partially intrinsic radii $\text{IR}^\sharp(V)$. By [Xi09+, Lemma 1.4.14], there exists a norm $|\cdot|_V$ on V such that

- (i) it admits an orthogonal basis, and
- (ii) the operator norms $|x_j \partial_j|_V \leq \text{IR}^\sharp(V)^{-1}$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$ and $|\partial_j|_V \leq \text{IR}^\sharp(V)^{-1}$ for $j = m+1, \dots, n$;

we call such a norm *good*. (Note that this is weaker than the convention used in [Xi09+, Definition 1.4.11] for multi-derivative case $n > 1$.)

If the partially intrinsic radii $\text{IR}^\sharp(V) < \min\{1, |x_{m+1}|, \dots, |x_n|\}$, by possibly enlarging the valued group of F as in 2.1.3, we may assume that $|\cdot|_V$ admits an orthonormal basis. In this case, let N_j denote the matrix of $x_j \partial_j$ if $j \leq m$ or of ∂_j if $j > m$ acting on this chosen basis; they commute with each other. The *refined partially intrinsic radii* (or *refined intrinsic radii* if $m = n$) is defined to be the multiset $\text{Ref}^\sharp(V)$ consisting of

$$\theta_1 \frac{dx_1}{x_1} + \dots + \theta_m \frac{dx_m}{x_m} + \theta_{m+1} dx_{m+1} + \dots + \theta_n dx_n \in \bigoplus_{\omega \in \text{Log}^*} \kappa_{F^{\text{alg}}}^{[\text{IR}^\sharp(V)^{-1}]} \omega$$

for each common (generalized) eigenvalues $(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)$ (with multiplicities) of $N_1, \dots, N_n$ modulo $\mathfrak{m}_{F^{\text{alg}}}^{< \text{IR}^\sharp(V)^{-1}}$.

If $\text{IR}^\sharp(V) \geq \min\{1, |x_{m+1}|, \dots, |x_n|\}$, we conventionally write $\text{Ref}^\sharp(V) = \{0, \dots, 0\}$, a multiset consisting only 0 of multiplicity $\dim V$.

For a general differential module V , applying the above construction to the Jordan-Hölder factors $V_1, \dots, V_r$ of V , we define $\text{Ref}^\sharp(V) = \cup_{i=1}^r \text{Ref}^\sharp(V_i)$. We say V has *pure refined intrinsic radii* (or *pure refined irregularities* if F satisfies the conditions in 2.1.7) if $\text{Ref}^\sharp(V)$ consists of multiples of a same element. We order the element in $\text{Ref}^\sharp(V)$ as $\text{Ref}^\sharp(V; 1), \dots, \text{Ref}^\sharp(V; \dim V)$ such that $\text{Ref}^\sharp(V; i) \in \bigoplus_{\omega \in \text{Log}^*} \kappa_{F^{\text{alg}}}^{[\text{IR}^\sharp(V; i)^{-1}]} \omega$. This choice of order may not be unique; we fix such a choice; however see Remarks 2.3.7 and 2.3.15.

When F satisfies the conditions in 2.1.7, we also call $\text{Ref}^\sharp(V)$ the *refined irregularities* of V . In particular, $\text{Ref}^\sharp(V; i) \in \bigoplus_{\omega \in \text{Log}^*} (\pi_F^{-\text{Irr}(V; i)} \kappa_{F^{\text{alg}}}) \omega$. Again, in this case, the definition of $\text{Ref}^\sharp(V)$ does not depend on the choice of log-structure if we identify $\bigoplus_{\omega \in \text{Log}^*} (\pi_F^{-\text{Irr}(V; i)} \kappa_{F^{\text{alg}}}) \omega$ with $\bigoplus_{j=1}^n (\pi_F^{-\text{Irr}(V; i)} \kappa_{F^{\text{alg}}}) \frac{dx_j}{x_j}$. Sometimes we omit the sharp from the notation for simplicity.

2.1.9. Remark. When F satisfies the conditions in 2.1.7, we have an additional restriction on the refined irregularities. For i such that $\text{Irr}(V; i) > 0$, write $\text{Ref}^\sharp(V; i) = x_1^{-\text{Irr}(V; i)} (\theta_1 \frac{dx_1}{x_1} + \dots + \theta_n \frac{dx_n}{x_n})$ for $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n \in \kappa_F^{\text{alg}}$. By [Xi09+, Proposition 1.4.17], we have $x_j \partial_j (x_1^{-\text{Irr}(V; i)} \theta_1) = x_1 \partial_1 (x_1^{-\text{Irr}(V; i)} \theta_j)$ as an equality in $x_1^{-\text{Irr}(V; i)} \kappa_F^{\text{alg}}$ for any $j \neq 1$. This implies that $\theta_j = -x_j \partial_j (\theta_1) / \text{Irr}(V; i)$. In other words, θ_j for $j \neq 1$ are determined by θ_1 . In particular, $\theta_1 \neq 0$. (This fact is also hinted by [Ked10b, Proposition 2.5.4].)

We also point out that similar phenomenon does not happen for the positive characteristic analogue.

2.1.10. Proposition. *Let V be a differential module over F .*

- (i) *If F is discretely valued, the sum $\text{Irr}(V; 1) + \dots + \text{Irr}(V; \dim V) \in \mathbb{Z}$.*
- (ii) *We have a unique direct sum decomposition $V = \bigoplus_{r \in (0, 1]} V_r$ of differential modules such that V_r has pure partial intrinsic radii $\text{IR}^\sharp(V_r) = r$.*

(iii) Assume that F satisfies the conditions in 2.1.7. If F' is a finite extension of F of ramification degree h such that $h \cdot \text{Irr}(V; i) \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\text{Ref}(V; i) \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (\pi_{F'}^{-h \cdot \text{Irr}(V; i)} \kappa_{F'}) \frac{du_j}{u_j}$, we obtain a unique direct sum decomposition $V \otimes F' = \bigoplus_{\vartheta} V_{\vartheta}$ of differential modules over F' , where the direct sum runs over all $\vartheta \in \bigoplus_{j=1}^n \pi_{F'}^b \kappa_{F'} \frac{du_j}{u_j}$ for some $b \in \mathbb{N}$, such that every V_{ϑ} has pure irregularities b and pure refined irregularities ϑ .

Moreover, if we group $\text{Ref}(V)$ into $G = \text{Gal}(F'/F)$ -orbits $\{G\vartheta\}$, the above decomposition descends to a unique direct sum decomposition over F : $V = \bigoplus_{\{G\vartheta\}} V_{\{G\vartheta\}}$, where $V_{\{G\vartheta\}}$ has refined irregularities in $\{G\vartheta\}$ with same multiplicity on each element in $\{G\vartheta\}$.

Proof. (i) and (ii) are known to Robba; see for example [KX10, Proposition 1.3.4]. (iii) is proved in [Xi09+, Theorem 1.3.26]. $\square$

2.1.11. Corollary. Assume that F satisfies the conditions in 2.1.7. Let V be a differential module over F with pure irregularity b . Assume that all refined irregularities of V form several copies of a same $\text{Gal}(F'/F)$ -orbit for some finite extension F' of F . Let r be the number of elements in the $\text{Gal}(\kappa_{F'}/\kappa_F)$ -orbit of an element in $\text{Ref}^{\sharp}(V)$. Then $\dim V \cdot \text{Irr}(V)/r \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Let F'' be the maximal unramified extension of F inside F' . By Proposition 2.1.10(iii), V splits into direct sum of exactly r differential modules corresponding to each element in the $\text{Gal}(\kappa_{F'}/\kappa_F)$ -orbit; the direct summand would each have dimension $\dim V/r$. The corollary follows from Proposition 2.1.10(i). $\square$

2.1.12. Remark. We remark that, when the differential operators are not of rational type, all above definitions and results are still valid, if the radii is strictly bigger than the the inverse of operator norms. See [Xi09+, Remarks 1.3.29, 1.4.22].

We record a technical but useful lemma for future reference.

2.1.13. Lemma. Let R be unique factorization domain and let $S = R((\pi_F))$. We write $F = \text{Frac}(S)^{\wedge}$ and let v denote the natural valuation on F . Let R^{alg} denote the integral closure of R in an algebraic closure of $\text{Frac}(R)$.

Assume either ∂ is a nontrivial derivation on R , extended to F naturally by setting $\partial(\pi_F) = 0$, or $\partial = \partial/\partial\pi_F$. In the former case, we assume that ∂ is of rational type with respect to some $u \in R$; in the latter case, we set $u = \pi_F$. When talking about ∂ -differential modules, we take $\text{Log} = \emptyset$. Let M be a ∂ -differential module over S , that is a locally free module over S with finite rank d , equipped with an action of ∂ subject to Leibniz rule. Assume that $R_{\partial}(M \otimes F) = |\pi_F|^b < |u|$ and let M_b be the unique differential submodule of $M \otimes F$ with pure ∂ -radii $|\pi_F|^b$. Then the refined partially intrinsic radii $\text{Ref}^{\sharp}(M_b)$ actually lies in $\pi_F^{-b} R^{\text{alg}} \subseteq \pi_F^{-b} \text{Frac}(R)^{\text{alg}}$.

Proof. Let r be the multiplicity of b in $\mathcal{R}(M \otimes F)$. To prove the lemma, we can always adjoin $\pi_F^{1/N}$ to S and make a tamely ramified extension. Hence, by [KX10, Theorem 1.4.21], we may assume that all element in $\mathcal{R}(M \otimes F)$ are integer powers of $|\pi_F|$.

Let $\mathbf{v} \in M \otimes \text{Frac}(S)$ be a cyclic vector (see e.g. [Ked10a, Theorem 5.4.2]), i.e. $\mathbf{v}, \partial(\mathbf{v}), \dots, \partial^{d-1}(\mathbf{v})$ form a basis of $M \otimes \text{Frac}(S)$ over $\text{Frac}(S)$; the action of ∂ is determined by $(\partial^d + a_1 \pi_F^{-b} \partial^{d-1} + \dots + a_d \pi_F^{-bd})\mathbf{v} = 0$ for some $a_1, \dots, a_d \in \text{Frac}(S)$. By [KX10, Proposition 1.3.2], we have $a_i \in \mathfrak{o}_F$ for $i = 1, \dots, r-1$, $a_r \in \mathfrak{o}_F^{\times}$, and $a_i \in \pi_F \mathfrak{o}_F$ for $i = r+1, \dots, d$. Moreover, by [Xi09+, Corollary 1.3.13], the reductions of the roots of $X^r + a_1 X^{r-1} + \dots + a_r = 0$ in $\kappa_F = \text{Frac}(R)$ are exactly $\pi_F^b \text{Ref}^{\sharp}(M_b)$.

Now, to prove the lemma, it suffices to show that the reduction $\bar{a}_i$ of each a_i in $\kappa_F = \text{Frac}(R)$ lies in R . Suppose not, there exists some irreducible element λ of R such that, if we denote v_{λ} the valuation on R corresponding to λ , $v_{\lambda}(\bar{a}_i) < 0$ for some $i = 1, \dots, r$.

Let R_{λ} denote the ring of integers in the completion of $\text{Frac}(R)$ with respect to v_{λ} ; it may be also written as $\kappa_{\lambda}[[\lambda]]$ with residue field κ_{λ} . Since ∂ preserves R , it extends to a continuous derivation on R_{λ} . Set $S_{\lambda} = R_{\lambda}((\pi_F)) = \kappa_{\lambda}((\pi_F))[[\lambda]]_0$ (see Notation 2.1.2) and $M_{\lambda} = M \otimes_S S_{\lambda}$. Let $\tilde{F}$ denote the completion of $\text{Frac}(S_{\lambda})$ for the π_F -valuation; it contains F as a subfield. Now, $\mathbf{v}$ is also a cyclic vector of $M_{\lambda} \otimes \tilde{F}$ and we take a basis of $M_{\lambda} \otimes \tilde{F}$ by $\mathbf{v}, \pi_F^{-b} \partial(\mathbf{v}), \dots, \pi_F^{-b(d-1)} \partial^{d-1}(\mathbf{v})$; it gives a norm on $M_{\lambda} \otimes \tilde{F}$. Let A denote the matrix of ∂ acting on this basis. By the lattice lemma [KX10,

Lemma 2.2.3], we can find a basis $m_1, \dots, m_d$ of M_λ (over S_λ) defining the same norm restricted from $M_\lambda \otimes \bar{F}$.

Now we let B denote matrix of ∂ acting on this new basis and let $X^d + \tilde{a}_1 \pi_F^{-b} X^{d-1} + \dots + \tilde{a}_d \pi_F^{-db}$ denote the characteristic polynomial of B . If we use $N \in \text{GL}_d(\mathfrak{o}_{\bar{F}})$ to denote the transformation matrix between the two bases, we have $B = N^{-1}AN + N^{-1}\partial(N)$. We know that $|N^{-1}\partial(N)| \leq |\pi_F|^{-1}$ if $\partial = \partial/\partial\pi_F$ and ≤ 1 otherwise. By [Ked10a, Theorem 4.2.2], for any $i = 1, \dots, d$, $|a_i \pi_F^{-ib} - \tilde{a}_i \pi_F^{-ib}| \leq |\pi_F|^{-i}$ if $\partial = \partial/\partial\pi_F$, and ≤ 1 otherwise. In particular, a_i is congruent to $\tilde{a}_i$ modulo π_F and hence $\tilde{a}_i$ lies in $\kappa_\lambda[[\lambda]]$; this contradicts the assumption before. The lemma is proved. $\square$

2.1.14. Remark. On one hand, one can check that the condition that ∂ is of rational type is not used in the proof here, if we define radii of convergence as in [KX10, Definition 1.2.8]. On the other hand, we do not know how to generalize this to a broader class of rings because we need to make sure that ∂ is continuous with respect to the new valuation v_λ , which is not obvious if v_λ is too arbitrary.

2.1.15. Remark. We also want to point out that this argument only applies to the piece with smallest ∂ -radii. In general, one expect the “product” of refined ∂ -radii from the pieces with l smallest ∂ -radii for any l , to lie in R^{alg} , if suitably normalized.

2.1.16. Refined irregularities over higher dimensional local fields. Equip $\mathbb{Q}^m$ with the lexicographic order: $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_m) < \mathbf{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_m)$ if and only if

$$i_1 = j_1, \dots, i_{l-1} = j_{l-1}, \text{ and } i_l < j_l \text{ for some } l \in \{1, \dots, m\}.$$

We will abuse the notation $\underline{0} = (0, \dots, 0)$ in various contexts, e.g. as elements in $\mathbb{Q}^m$ or in $\bigoplus x_1^b \kappa_F^{\text{alg}}$. This should not cause any confusion.

Let $F = \mathbf{k}((x_m)) \cdots ((x_1))$ be the m -dimensional local field, where $\mathbf{k}$ is a trivially normed field. The residue field κ_F of F is $\mathbf{k}((x_m)) \cdots ((x_2))$. We then define a multi-indexed valuation $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_m) : F^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^m \subset \mathbb{Q}^m$, where, for $\alpha \in F^\times$, $v_1(\alpha)$ is the x_1 -valuation of α and inductively, $v_i(\alpha)$ is the x_i -valuation of the reduction of $\alpha x_1^{-v_1(\alpha)} \cdots x_{i-1}^{-v_{i-1}(\alpha)}$ in $\mathbf{k}((x_m)) \cdots ((x_i))$. We denote $\mathcal{O}_F = \{x \in F | x = 0 \text{ or } \mathbf{v}(x) \geq \underline{0}\}$ and $\mathcal{M}_F = \{x \in F | x = 0 \text{ or } \mathbf{v}(x) > \underline{0}\}$.

We assume that $\mathbf{k}$ contains $k(x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n)$; and we assume that F admits continuous actions of differential operators $\partial_1 = \partial/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial_n = \partial/\partial x_n$. When considering differential modules, the log-structure is given by $\text{Log} = \{\partial_1, \dots, \partial_m\}$ and $\text{Log}^* = \{\frac{dx_1}{x_1}, \dots, \frac{dx_m}{x_m}, dx_{m+1}, \dots, dx_n\}$.

For any $b \in \mathbb{Q}$, the valuation $\mathbf{v}$ naturally gives rise to a valuation (still using the same notation) $\mathbf{v} : x_1^b \kappa_F^{\text{alg}} \setminus \{\underline{0}\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^m$; it induces a valuation $\mathbf{v}^\# : (\bigoplus_{\omega \in \text{Log}^*} x_1^b \kappa_F^{\text{alg}} \cdot \omega) \setminus \{\underline{0}\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^m$ as

$$\mathbf{v}^\# \left(\theta_1 \frac{dx_1}{x_1} + \dots + \theta_m \frac{dx_m}{x_m} + \theta_{m+1} dx_{m+1} + \dots + \theta_n dx_n \right) := \min \{ \mathbf{v}(\theta_1), \dots, \mathbf{v}(\theta_n) \}.$$

If V is a differential module over F of dimension d with pure irregularity $\text{Irr}(V) > 0$, we define $\text{lrr}^\#(V) = \{-\mathbf{v}^\#(\text{Ref}^\#(V; i)) | i = 1, \dots, \dim M\}$ and $\text{lrr}^\#(V; 1), \dots, \text{lrr}^\#(V; \dim V)$ be elements of $\text{lrr}^\#(V)$ in decreasing order. In particular, the first entries of $\text{lrr}^\#(V; i)$ is just $\text{Irr}(V; i)$. (Be aware that we may not have $-\mathbf{v}^\#(\text{Ref}^\#(V; i)) = \text{lrr}^\#(V; i)$ as there is no canonical order for $\text{Ref}^\#(V; i)$ as pointed out in 2.1.8.) We also define

$$\text{Ref}^\#(V) = \{(-\mathbf{v}^\#(\text{Ref}^\#(V; i)), \bar{\vartheta}_i) | i = 1, \dots, \dim M\},$$

where $\bar{\vartheta}_i$ is the reduction of $x_1^{\mathbf{v}_1(\text{Ref}^\#(V; i))} \cdots x_m^{\mathbf{v}_m(\text{Ref}^\#(V; i))} \text{Ref}^\#(V; i)$ in $\bigoplus_{\omega \in \text{Log}^*} \mathbf{k}^{\text{alg}} \cdot \omega$. We order the elements of $\text{Ref}^\#(V)$ as $\text{Ref}^\#(V; 1), \dots, \text{Ref}^\#(V; d)$, in decreasing order on the first argument. (Again, note that a new order may be taken among all refined partially intrinsic radii.)

In general, $\text{lrr}^\#(V)$ is the union of $\text{lrr}^\#(V_i)$ for V_i Jordan-Hölder factors of V . Here, those Jordan-Hölder factors V_i with $\text{Irr}(V_i) = 0$ contribute $(0, \dots, 0)$ with multiplicity $\dim V_i$ to $\text{lrr}^\#(V)$.

2.1.17. Remark. Careful readers might have noticed that, even when $\text{Irr}(V) = 0$, one can use Deligne-Malgrange lattice (see [Ked10b, Section 2.4]) to extract some information on the valuations $v_2, \dots, v_m$. So, it is somewhat problematic to define $\text{lrr}^\#(V)$ to be all zero in this case. One can probably develop the theory this way. However, we did not take this approach because (a) the

information on irregular piece is enough to deduce our main theorem, and (b) this is a special phenomenon for residual characteristic zero case and we hope to keep parallel with the treatment in characteristic $p > 0$ where Deligne-Malgrange lattices are not available.

2.2. Differential modules over $R_{n,m}$.

2.2.1. *Setup.* Let k be a field of characteristic 0. For $n \geq m \geq 0$, denote

$$R_{n,m} := k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]][x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_m^{-1}].$$

For $\underline{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_n) \in [0, \infty)^n$, let $|\cdot|_{\underline{r}}$ denote the $(e^{-r_1}, \dots, e^{-r_n})$ -Gauss norm on $R_{n,m}$ and let $F_{\underline{r}}$ be the completion of $\text{Frac}(R_{n,m})$ with respect to $|\cdot|_{\underline{r}}$. Note that $F_{\underline{r}}$ is a complete nonarchimedean differential field of rational type with respect to $\partial_1 = \partial/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial_n = \partial/\partial x_n$ with rational parameters $x_1, \dots, x_n$.

Let $S_{n,m}$ be the Fréchet completion of $R_{n,m}$ with respect to the norms $|\cdot|_{\underline{r}}$ for $\underline{r} \in (0, \infty)^n$.

Let $e_1, \dots, e_n$ be the standard base vectors of $\mathbb{R}^n$. For $j = 1, \dots, m$, we write $F_{(j)}$ and $|\cdot|_{(j)}$ as shorthand for F_{e_j} and $|\cdot|_{e_j}$. We also write $\mathfrak{o}_{(j)}$ as shorthands for $\mathfrak{o}_{F_{(j)}}$.

2.2.2. *Differential modules.* Let M be a differential modules over $R_{n,m}$, that is a locally free module M over $R_{n,m}$ of finite rank d , with an integrable connection $\nabla : M \rightarrow M \otimes \Omega_{R_{n,m}/k}^1$, i.e. with commuting actions of $\partial_1 = \partial/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial_n = \partial/\partial x_n$ (subject the Leibniz rule).

For the first part of this subsection, we consider intrinsic radii with respect to the *full log-structure* $\text{Log}' = \{\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n\}$; in this case we omit the superscript $\sharp$ and write $IR(M \otimes F_{\underline{r}}; i)$ for subsidiary intrinsic radii. We denote $g_i(M, \underline{r}) = -\log(IR(M \otimes F_{\underline{r}}; i))$ and $G_i(M, \underline{r}) = g_1(M, \underline{r}) + \dots + g_i(M, \underline{r})$ for $i = 1, \dots, d$.

2.2.3. **Remark.** For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, $|\cdot|_{\lambda \underline{r}} = |\cdot|_{\underline{r}}^\lambda$ and hence $F_{\lambda \underline{r}}$ is isomorphic to $F_{\underline{r}}$ only with a different norm. It follows that $g_i(M, \lambda \underline{r}) = \lambda g_i(M, \underline{r})$ for any $i = 1, \dots, d$. Also, under our convention, $F_{(0, \dots, 0)}$ is trivially normed and hence $g_i(M, (0, \dots, 0)) = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, d$.

2.2.4. **Notation.** For $\underline{h} = (h_1, \dots, h_m)$ positive integers and k' a finite extension of k , we denote

$$R'_{n,m,\underline{h}} = k'[[x_1^{1/h_1}, \dots, x_m^{1/h_m}, x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n]][x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_m^{-1}].$$

For $\underline{r} \in [0, \infty)^n$, we also write $F'_{\underline{r}, \underline{h}} = k' F_{\underline{r}}[x_1^{1/h_1}, \dots, x_m^{1/h_m}]$; it is the completion of $\text{Frac}(R'_{n,m,\underline{h}})$ with respect to $|\cdot|_{\underline{r}}$.

2.2.5. **Theorem.** *Let M be a non-zero differential module of rank d over $R_{n,m}$. We have the following properties.*

(i) (Variation) *The functions $G_i(M, \underline{r})$ are continuous, convex, and piecewise linear for all $\underline{r} \in [0, \infty)^n$. Moreover, if $\underline{r}, \underline{r}' \in (0, \infty)^n$ with $r_j = r'_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$ and $r_j \leq r'_j$ for $j = m+1, \dots, n$, then $G_i(M, \underline{r}) \leq G_i(M, \underline{r}')$.*

(ii) (Decomposition) *Fix $l \in \{1, \dots, d-1\}$. Suppose that the function $G_l(M, \underline{r})$ is linear, and $g_l(M, \underline{r}) > g_{l+1}(M, \underline{r})$ for all $\underline{r} \in (0, \infty)^n$. Then M admits a unique direct sum decomposition $M_1 \oplus M_2$ such that for each $\underline{r} \in (0, \infty)^n$, $\mathcal{IR}(M_1 \otimes F_{\underline{r}})$ consists of the smallest l elements of $\mathcal{IR}(M \otimes F_{\underline{r}})$.*

(iii) (Refined intrinsic radii decomposition) *Assume that $g_1(M, \underline{r}) = \dots = g_d(M, \underline{r}) = b_1 r_1 + \dots + b_m r_m$ are affine functions over $(0, \infty)^n$. Let h_i denote the denominator of b_i for all i . Then there exists a finite extension k' of k and a multiset $\text{Ref}'(M) \subset \bigoplus_{i=1}^n k' \frac{dx_i}{x_i}$ such that we have a unique direct sum decomposition of differential modules:*

$$M \otimes_{R_{n,m}} R'_{n,m,\underline{h}} = \bigoplus_{\vartheta \in \text{Ref}'(M)} M_{\vartheta},$$

such that $M_{\vartheta} \otimes F'_{\underline{r}, \underline{h}}$ has pure refined intrinsic radii $x_1^{-b_1} \dots x_m^{-b_m} \vartheta$ for all $\underline{r} \in (0, \infty)^n$.

Proof. (i) is proved in [KX10, Theorem 3.3.9] and (ii) is proved in [Ked10b, Theorem 3.3.6]. We now prove (iii). There is nothing to prove when $m = 0$, so we assume hereafter that $m > 0$. Also, we may replace x_j by x_j^{1/h_j} and k by k' and assume that $h_j = 1$ and $k = k'$ for all $j = 1, \dots, m$. By [Xi09+, Theorem 4.3.6], we have a decomposition of M over $S_{n,m}$ satisfying the required property;

this corresponds to a projector $\mathbf{e} \in \text{End}(M) \otimes_{R_{n,m}} S_{n,m}$. By exactly the same argument in [Ked10b, Theorem 3.3.6] (using [Ked10b, Lemma 2.6.4]), we deduce that this projector $\mathbf{e}$ in fact lives in $\text{End}(M)$, yielding (iii). $\square$

2.2.6. Definition. For M a non-zero differential module of over $R_{n,m}$, we say that M is *numerically clean* if the functions $g_i(M, \underline{x})$ are linear in $\underline{x}$ for all i .

2.2.7. Remark. The numerical condition is preserved under taking subobjects but is *not* stable under taking direct sums, because the functions g_i from different direct summands may not be well-ordered. However, one can develop an explicit recipe to make toroidal blow-ups at the intersection of each pair irreducible components so that, pulling back to this blowup, M becomes numerically clean.

In [Ked10b], Kedlaya introduced a condition which is slightly stronger than numerical cleanness. It has the advantage of having an explicit form and stable under proper birational base change.

2.2.8. Definition. Let M be a differential module over $R_{n,m}$. We say M is *regular* if $M = 0$ or $g_1(M, \underline{x}) \equiv 0$. By Theorem 2.2.5(i), this is equivalent to $IR(M \otimes F_{(j)}; 1) = 1$ for all $j = 1, \dots, m$.

2.2.9. Definition. For $\phi \in R_{n,m}$, we define a differential module $E(\phi)$ of rank 1 with generator $\mathbf{e}$ by

$$\partial_i \mathbf{e} = \partial_i(\phi) \mathbf{e}, \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Let M be a differential module over $R_{n,m}$. A *good decomposition* is an isomorphism

$$M \simeq \bigoplus_{\alpha \in A} E(\phi_\alpha) \otimes_{R_{n,m}} \text{Reg}_\alpha$$

for some $\phi_\alpha \in R_{n,m}$ and some regular differential modules Reg_α , satisfying the following two conditions:

- (1) For $\alpha \in A$, if $\phi_\alpha \notin R_{n,0}$, then $\phi_\alpha = ux_1^{-i_1} \cdots x_m^{-i_m}$, for some unit $u \in R_{n,0}^\times$ and some nonnegative integers $i_1, \dots, i_m$.
- (2) For $\alpha, \beta \in A$, if $\phi_\alpha - \phi_\beta \notin R_{n,0}$, then $\phi_\alpha - \phi_\beta = ux_1^{-i_1} \cdots x_m^{-i_m}$, for some unit $u \in R_{n,0}^\times$ and some nonnegative integers $i_1, \dots, i_m$.

2.2.10. Theorem. Let M be a non-zero differential modules over $R_{n,m}$ of rank d . The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) There exist a finite extension k' and a positive integer h such that $M \otimes_{R_{n,m}} R_{n,m}[x_1^{1/h}, \dots, x_m^{1/h}]$ admits a good decomposition.
- (2) The functions $G_1(M, r), \dots, G_d(M, r)$ and $G_{d^2}(M \otimes M^\vee, r)$ are linear in r .
- (3) The functions $G_d(M, r)$ and $G_{d^2}(M \otimes M^\vee, r)$ are linear in r .

Proof. See [Ked10b, Theorem 4.4.2]. $\square$

2.2.11. Definition. We say that M admits a *good formal structure* at x if $M \otimes R_{n,m}$ satisfies the equivalent conditions in Theorem 2.2.10.

2.2.12. Remark. We have implications (i) M and $\text{End}(M)$ being numerically clean $\Rightarrow$ (ii) M admitting good formal structure $\Rightarrow$ (iii) M being numerically clean. The first implication is not an equivalence; see [Ked10b, Example 4.4.5] for a counterexample. This failure is very similar to the instability of cleanness under taking direct sums, as explained in Remark 2.2.7.

2.2.13. A different log-structure. For the rest of this subsection, we discuss the situation with a different choice of log-structure: $\text{Log} = \{\partial_1, \dots, \partial_m\}$. We write $\text{Log}^* = \{\frac{dx_1}{x_1}, \dots, \frac{dx_m}{x_m}, dx_{m+1}, \dots, dx_n\}$. For M a differential module over $R_{n,m}$, we denote $g_i^\sharp(M, \underline{x}) = -\log(IR^\sharp(M \otimes F_{\underline{x}}; i))$ and $G_i^\sharp(M, \underline{x}) = g_1^\sharp(M, \underline{x}) + \dots + g_i^\sharp(M, \underline{x})$ for $\underline{x} \in [0, \infty)^n$ and $i = 1, \dots, d$.

Similarly, we have $g_i^\sharp(M, \lambda \underline{x}) = \lambda g_i^\sharp(M, \underline{x})$ and $g_i^\sharp(M, (0, \dots, 0)) = 0$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and all $i = 1, \dots, d$.

Let $\mathbf{k} = \text{Frac}(k[[x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n]])$. Some of our decomposition theorems will only work over $\mathbf{R}_{n,m} = \mathbf{k}[[x_1, \dots, x_m]][x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_m^{-1}]$. For $\underline{x} \in [0, \infty)^m \times \{0\}^{n-m}$, the norm $|\cdot|_{\underline{x}}$ also extends to

$\text{Frac}(\mathbf{R}_{n,m})$; let $\mathbf{F}_{\underline{r}}$ denote the completion. Each $\mathbf{F}_{\underline{r}}$ contains $F_{\underline{r}}$ as a subfield and it actually equals to $F_{\underline{r}}$ if $\underline{r} \in (0, \infty)^m \times \{0\}^{n-m}$.

For $\mathbf{M}$ a differential module over $\mathbf{R}_{n,m}$, we similarly define $g_i^\sharp(\mathbf{M}, \underline{r}) = -\log(IR^\sharp(\mathbf{M} \otimes \mathbf{F}_{\underline{r}}; i))$ and $G_i^\sharp(\mathbf{M}, \underline{r}) = g_1^\sharp(\mathbf{M}, \underline{r}) + \cdots + g_i^\sharp(\mathbf{M}, \underline{r})$ for any $\underline{r} \in [0, \infty)^m \times \{0\}^{n-m}$ and $i = 1, \dots, d$. If $\mathbf{M} = M \otimes_{R_{n,m}} \mathbf{R}_{n,m}$ for a differential module M over $R_{n,m}$, we have $g_i^\sharp(\mathbf{M}, \underline{r}) = g_i^\sharp(M, \underline{r})$ for any $\underline{r} \in [0, \infty)^m \times \{0\}^{n-m}$.

2.2.14. Proposition. *Let $\mathbf{M}$ be a nonzero differential module of rank d over $\mathbf{R}_{n,m}$. We have the following properties.*

(i[♯]) (Variation) *The functions $G_i^\sharp(\mathbf{M}, \underline{r})$ are continuous, convex, and piecewise linear for all $\underline{r} \in [0, \infty)^m \times \{0\}^{n-m}$.*

(ii[♯]) (Weak decomposition) *Fix $l \in \{1, \dots, d-1\}$. Suppose that the function $G_l^\sharp(\mathbf{M}, \underline{r})$ is linear, and $g_l^\sharp(\mathbf{M}, \underline{r}) > g_{l+1}^\sharp(\mathbf{M}, \underline{r})$ for all $\underline{r} \in (0, \infty)^m \times \{0\}^{n-m}$. Then $\mathbf{M}$ admits a unique direct sum decomposition $\mathbf{M}_1 \oplus \mathbf{M}_2$ such that, for each $\underline{r} \in (0, \infty)^m \times \{0\}^{n-m}$, $\mathcal{IR}^\sharp(\mathbf{M}_1 \otimes \mathbf{F}_{\underline{r}})$ consists of the smallest l elements of $\mathcal{IR}^\sharp(\mathbf{M} \otimes \mathbf{F}_{\underline{r}})$.*

(iii[♯]) (Weak refined intrinsic radii decomposition) *Assume that $g_1^\sharp(\mathbf{M}, \underline{r}) = \cdots = g_d^\sharp(\mathbf{M}, \underline{r}) = b_1 r_1 + \cdots + b_m r_m$ are affine functions over $[0, \infty)^m \times \{0\}^{n-m}$. Let h_i denote the denominator of b_i for all i . Then we have a unique direct sum decomposition of differential modules*

$$\mathbf{M} \otimes_{\mathbf{R}_{n,m}} \mathbf{R}_{n,m}[x_1^{1/h_1}, \dots, x_m^{1/h_m}] = \bigoplus_{\{G_{\mathbf{k}}^\vartheta\}} \mathbf{M}_{\{G_{\mathbf{k}}^\vartheta\}},$$

where the direct sum is taken over all $G_{\mathbf{k}} = \text{Gal}(\mathbf{k}^{\text{alg}}/\mathbf{k})$ -orbits of elements in $\bigoplus_{\omega \in \text{Log}^*} \mathbf{k}^{\text{alg}} \cdot \omega$, such that, for all $\underline{r} \in (0, \infty)^m \times \{0\}^{n-m}$, $\mathbf{M}_{\{G_{\mathbf{k}}^\vartheta\}} \otimes \mathbf{F}_{\underline{r}}[x_1^{1/h_1}, \dots, x_m^{1/h_m}]$ has refined intrinsic radii $x_1^{-b_1} \cdots x_m^{-b_m} g(\vartheta)$ for $g \in G_{\mathbf{k}}$ with same multiplicity on each element.

Proof. (i[♯]) follows from [KX10, Theorem 3.3.9] applied to $\mathbf{M}$. For (ii[♯]) and (iii[♯]), let $\mathbf{S}_{n,m}$ be the Fréchet completion of $\mathbf{R}_{n,m}$ with respect to the norms $|\cdot|_{\underline{r}}$ for $\underline{r} \in (0, \infty)^m \times \{0\}^{n-m}$. (In case (iii[♯]), we may first replace x_j by x_j^{1/h_j} and k by k' and assume that $h_j = 1$ and $k = k'$.) In these two cases, we invoke [KX10, Theorem 3.4.2] and [Xi09+, Theorem 3.3.6], respectively, to obtain the desired decomposition over $\mathbf{S}_{n,m}$. Each direct summand corresponds to a projector $\mathbf{e} \in \text{End}(\mathbf{M}) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}_{n,m}} \mathbf{S}_{n,m}$. Then, we proceed exactly as in [Ked10b, Theorem 3.3.6] (using [Ked10b, Lemma 2.6.4]) and deduce that this projector $\mathbf{e}$ in fact lives in $\mathbf{M}$, yielding (ii[♯]) and (iii[♯]). $\square$

Under a stronger hypothesis, we can extend the decomposition to differential modules over $R_{m,n}$.

2.2.15. Theorem. *Let M be a nonzero differential module of rank d over $R_{n,m}$. Fix $l \in \{1, \dots, d-1\}$. Assume that $g_l^\sharp(M, \underline{r}) = \cdots = g_l^\sharp(M, r) = b_1 r_1 + \cdots + b_m r_m$ are affine functions over $(0, \infty)^m \times \{0\}^{n-m}$ and assume that $g_l^\sharp(M, \underline{r}) > g_{l+1}^\sharp(M, \underline{r})$ for $\underline{r} \in (0, \infty)^m \times \{0\}^{n-m}$. Let h_i denote the denominator of b_i for all i . We have the following.*

(i) *There exists a (complete) local ring $\mathfrak{R}$ finite over $k[[x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n]]$ such that for all $\underline{r} \in (0, \infty)^m \times \{0\}^{n-m}$,*

$$\text{Ref}^\sharp(M \otimes F_{\underline{r}}; 1), \dots, \text{Ref}^\sharp(M \otimes F_{\underline{r}}; l) \in \bigoplus_{\omega \in \text{Log}^*} x_1^{-b_1} \cdots x_m^{-b_m} \mathfrak{R} \cdot \omega$$

(ii) *Let $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{R}}$ denote the maximal ideal of $\mathfrak{R}$ and write $k' = \mathfrak{R}/\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{R}}$ for the finite extension of k . We have a unique direct sum decomposition of differential modules:*

$$M \otimes_{R_{n,m}} R'_{n,m,\underline{h}} = M_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{\underline{\lambda}} M_{\underline{\lambda}},$$

where the direct sum runs through all $\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda_\omega)_{\omega \in \text{Log}^*} \in k'^n \setminus \{0\}$, such that

- for any $\underline{r} \in (0, \infty)^m \times \{0\}^{n-m}$, all elements in $x_1^{b_1} \cdots x_m^{b_m} \text{Ref}^\sharp(M_{\underline{\lambda}} \otimes F'_{\underline{r},\underline{h}})$ is congruent to $\sum_{\omega \in \text{Log}^*} \lambda_\omega \omega$ modulo $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{R}}$, and

- for any $\underline{r} \in (0, \infty)^m \times \{0\}^{n-m}$, any Jordan-Hölder factor of $M_0 \otimes F'_{\underline{r}, \underline{h}}$ either has partially intrinsic radii $> b_1 r_1 + \dots + b_m r_m$, or has the refined partially intrinsic radii lie in $\bigoplus_{\omega \in \text{Log}^*} x_1^{-b_1} \dots x_m^{-b_m} \mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{R}} \cdot \omega$.

Proof. (i) By Proposition 2.2.14(ii[#]), we can first separate a differential submodule $\mathbf{M}_1$ of $M \otimes \mathbf{R}_{n,m}$ that accounts for the l smallest partially intrinsic radii of $M \otimes F_{\underline{r}}$ with $\underline{r} \in [0, \infty)^m \times \{0\}^{n-m}$. Then we apply Proposition 2.2.14(iii[#]) to $\mathbf{M}_1$ and conclude that, for any $\underline{r} \in (0, \infty)^m \times \{0\}^{n-m}$,

$$(2.1) \quad \text{Ref}^\#(M \otimes F_{\underline{r}}; 1), \dots, \text{Ref}^\#(M \otimes F_{\underline{r}}; l) \in \bigoplus_{\omega \in \text{Log}^*} x_1^{-b_1} \dots x_m^{-b_m} \text{Frac}(k[[x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n]])^{\text{alg}} \cdot \omega$$

On the other hand, we may apply Lemma 2.1.13 to $R = k[[x_2/x_1, \dots, x_m/x_1, x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n]][x_2^{-1}, \dots, x_m^{-1}]$ and $\pi_F = x_1$; this implies that, for $\underline{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_n)$ with $r_1 = \dots = r_m = 1$ and $r_{m+1} = \dots = r_n = 0$, the first l refined partially intrinsic radii all lie in $\bigoplus_{\omega \in \text{Log}^*} x_1^{-b_1} \dots x_m^{-b_m} R' \cdot \omega$ for R' a finite local R -algebra. (Since $k[[x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n]]$ is henselian, $\mathfrak{R}$ must be a local ring and $\mathfrak{R}/\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{R}}$ is a finite extension of k .) Combining this with (2.1), we arrive at our conclusion in (i).

(ii) We may replace x_j by x_j^{1/h_j} and k by k' and assume that $h_j = 1$ and $k = k'$. By Proposition 2.2.14(ii[#]) and (iii[#]), we have the desired decomposition over $\mathbf{R}_{n,m}$, that is $M \otimes \mathbf{R}_{n,m} = \mathbf{M}_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{\underline{\lambda}} \mathbf{M}_{\underline{\lambda}}$, where the direct sum runs through all $\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda_{\omega})_{\omega \in \text{Log}^*} \in k^n \setminus \{0\}$, such that

- for any $\underline{r} \in (0, \infty)^m \times \{0\}^{n-m}$, all elements in $x_1^{b_1} \dots x_m^{b_m} \text{Ref}^\#(\mathbf{M}_{\underline{\lambda}} \otimes F_{\underline{r}})$ is congruent to $\sum_{\omega \in \text{Log}^*} \lambda_{\omega} \omega$ modulo $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{R}}$, and
- for any $\underline{r} \in (0, \infty)^m \times \{0\}^{n-m}$, any Jordan-Hölder factor of $\mathbf{M}_0 \otimes F_{\underline{r}}$ either has partially intrinsic radii $> b_1 r_1 + \dots + b_m r_m$, or has the refined partially intrinsic radii lie in $\bigoplus_{\omega \in \text{Log}^*} x_1^{-b_1} \dots x_m^{-b_m} \mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{R}} \cdot \omega$.

(In fact, Proposition 2.2.14 provides us much finer decomposition; we regroup the summand into $\mathbf{M}_0$ and $\mathbf{M}_{\underline{\lambda}}$'s accordingly.)

We need to “descent this decomposition” to $R_{n,m}$ by “gluing” with some other decompositions using Lemma 2.2.16 and Remark 2.2.17 below.

To get other decompositions, we fix $\underline{r} = (\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_n)$ with $\mathbf{r}_1 = \dots = \mathbf{r}_m = 1$ and $\mathbf{r}_{m+1} = \dots = \mathbf{r}_n = 0$; for each $j = m+1, \dots, n$, we denote $F_{\underline{r}, (j)}$ to be the completion of $\text{Frac}(k[[x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_j, \dots, x_n]])$ with respect to the Gauss norm $|\cdot|_{\underline{r}}$. Let $F'_{\underline{r}, (j)}$ denote the completion of $F_{\underline{r}, (j)}(t)$ with respect to the e-Gauss norm (on t); we set $\partial_{j'}(t) = 0$ for $j' = 1, \dots, n$. We consider a new set of differential operators $\partial'_1 = t^{-1}\partial_1, \dots, \partial'_m = t^{-1}\partial_m, \partial'_{m+1} = \partial_m, \dots, \partial'_n = \partial_n$; they are of rational type with rational parameters $tx_1, \dots, tx_m, x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n$, when viewed as differential operators on the completion of $\text{Frac}(F'_{\underline{r}, (j)}[[x_j]]_0)$ for any Gauss norm (on x_j). (For $[\cdot]_0$, see Notation 2.1.2.)

We consider the differential module $M \otimes F'_{\underline{r}, (j)}[[x_j]]_0$ (with respect to $\partial'_1, \dots, \partial'_n$). We take the trivial log-structure $\text{Log}' = \emptyset$. Let E denote the completion of $\text{Frac}(F'_{\underline{r}, (j)}[[x_j]]_0)$. For N a differential module over $F'_{\underline{r}, (j)}[[x_j]]_0$, we write $IR'(N \otimes E; i)$ for the subsidiary partially intrinsic radii and $\text{Ref}'(N \otimes E; i)$ for the refined partially intrinsic radii, with respect to this new choice of differential operators and log-structure. We have $IR^\#(N \otimes E; i) = IR'(N \otimes E; i)$ and $\text{Ref}^\#(N \otimes E; i) = \text{Ref}'(N \otimes E; i)$ for any i , if we identify $\bigoplus_{\omega \in \text{Log}^*} \kappa_{E^{\text{alg}}}^{[s]} \cdot \omega$ with $\bigoplus_{i=1}^m \kappa_{E^{\text{alg}}}^{[s]} \cdot t dx_i \oplus \bigoplus_{i=m+1}^n \kappa_{E^{\text{alg}}}^{[s]} \cdot dx_i$ for any s .

We apply [Xi09+, Corollary 4.2.9] to obtain a unique direct sum decomposition

$$M \otimes F'_{\underline{r}, (j)}[[x_j]]_0 = \bigoplus M'_{\underline{\lambda}, (j)} \oplus M'_{0, (j)}$$

satisfying analogous conditions as in the statement of the theorem, namely,

- the direct sum runs through all $\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda_{\omega})_{\omega \in \text{Log}^*} \in k^n \setminus \{0\}$,
- all elements in $x_1^{b_1} \dots x_m^{b_m} \text{Ref}^\#(M'_{\underline{\lambda}, (j)} \otimes E)$ is congruent to $\sum_{\omega \in \text{Log}^*} \lambda_{\omega} \omega$ modulo $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{R}}$, and
- any Jordan-Hölder factor of $M'_{0, (j)} \otimes E$ has partially intrinsic radii $> b_1 + \dots + b_m$ or the refined partially intrinsic radii lie in $\bigoplus_{\omega \in \text{Log}^*} x_1^{-b_1} \dots x_m^{-b_m} \mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{R}} \cdot \omega$.

Strictly speaking, [Xi09+, Corollary 4.2.9] gives much finer decomposition and we regrouped them together (mostly into $M'_{0, (j)}$).

Now, for each j , the decomposition over $F'_{\mathbf{E},(j)}$ agrees with the decomposition over $\mathbf{R}_{n,m}$ in the sense that they both induce the same decomposition of M over E (given by Proposition 2.1.10(ii)(iii)). More precisely, we have

$$M'_{\Delta,(j)} \otimes E = \mathbf{M}_{\Delta} \otimes E \text{ and } M'_{0,(j)} \otimes E = \mathbf{M}_0 \otimes E$$

inside $M \otimes E$.

By Lemma 2.2.16 and Remark 2.2.17 below, we conclude that the decomposition descends to

$$(2.2) \quad F'_{\mathbf{E},(j)}[\![x_j]\!]_0 \cap \mathbf{R}_{n,m} = \text{Frac}(k[\![x_{m+1}, \dots, \hat{x}_j, \dots, x_n]\!])[\![x_1, \dots, x_m, x_j]\!][x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_m^{-1}]$$

Applying Lemma 2.2.16 and Remark 2.2.17 again to glue the decompositions over (2.2) for each j (along the decomposition over $\mathbf{R}_{n,m}$), we obtain the desired decomposition over $R_{n,m}$.

It is attempted to try to glue decompositions of $M \otimes F'_{\mathbf{E},(j_1)}[\![x_{j_1}]\!]_0$ and $M \otimes F'_{\mathbf{E},(j_2)}[\![x_{j_2}]\!]_0$ ($j_1 \neq j_2$) directly. But there is no field containing both base rings $F'_{\mathbf{E},(j_1)}[\![x_{j_1}]\!]_0$ and $F'_{\mathbf{E},(j_2)}[\![x_{j_2}]\!]_0$. We do need $R_{n,m}$ to hold them together as a “bridge”. $\square$

2.2.16. Lemma. *Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \longrightarrow & S \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ T & \longrightarrow & U \end{array}$$

be a commuting diagram of inclusions of integral domains, such that the intersection $S \cap T$ within U is equal to R . Let M be a finite locally free R -module. Then the intersection of $M \otimes_R S$ and $M \otimes_R T$ within $M \otimes_R U$ is equal to M .

Proof. See [KX10, Lemma 2.3.1]. $\square$

2.2.17. Remark. We remark how this lemma is used. We often apply this lemma to the module $\text{End}(M)$ over R for a differential module M . When we have a “desired” direct sum decomposition of $M \otimes_R S$ and $M \otimes_R T$ which coincide on $M \otimes_R U$, we view the projectors of the decomposition as elements in $\text{End}(M) \otimes_R S$, $\text{End}(M) \otimes_R T$, and $\text{End}(M) \otimes_R U$, respectively. By Lemma 2.2.16, we see that the projectors giving this direct sum decomposition actually comes from $\text{End}(M)$. Hence, we may “glue” the direct sum decomposition of $M \otimes_R S$ and $M \otimes_R T$ to get a direct sum decomposition of M (over R).

2.3. Cleaness condition. We give the definition of cleaness using refined irregularities developed in [Xi09+]. We prove that the numerical cleaness implies this cleaness. We keep the notation as in previous subsection.

2.3.1. Definition. Let $\mathfrak{S}_m$ denote the permutation group of $\{1, \dots, m\}$. Given $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m$, we have a natural embedding $\iota_\sigma : R_{n,m} \rightarrow F_\sigma = \mathbf{k}((x_{\sigma(m)})) \cdots ((x_{\sigma(1)}))$, where F_σ is a m -dimensional local field with norm given by $x_{\sigma(1)}$ -valuation such that $|x_{\sigma(1)}| = e$. So F_σ contains $F_{\sigma(1)}$ as a subfield.

2.3.2. Proposition. *Let M be a differential module over $R_{n,m}$ of rank d . Then there exists $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_m > 0$ such that, if we let C denote the interior of the convex hull of the set of points*

$$\{(1, 0, \dots, 0), (1 + \epsilon_1, 0, \dots, 0), (1 + \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, 0, 0), \dots, (1 + \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_m, 0, \dots, 0)\},$$

then we have a unique direct sum decomposition of differential modules $M \otimes \mathbf{R}_C^{\text{bd}} = \mathbf{M}_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Q}^n, b_1 > 0} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{b}}$ such that,

$$(2.3) \quad \text{for } b_1 > 0, \quad g_1^\sharp(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{b}}, \mathbf{r}) = \cdots = g_{\dim \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{b}}}^\sharp(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{b}}, \mathbf{r}) = b_1 r_1 + \cdots + b_m r_m, \text{ for all } \mathbf{r} \in C;$$

$$(2.4) \quad \text{for } \mathbf{M}_0, \quad g_1^\sharp(\mathbf{M}_0, (1, 0, \dots, 0)) = \cdots = g_{\dim \mathbf{M}_0}^\sharp(\mathbf{M}_0, (1, 0, \dots, 0)) = 0.$$

where $\mathbf{R}_C$ is the Fréchet completion of $\mathbf{R}_{n,m}$ with respect to the norms $|\cdot|_{\mathbf{r}}$ for $\mathbf{r} \in C$ and $\mathbf{R}_C^{\text{bd}} \subset \mathbf{R}_C$ is the subring consisting of elements whose norms are bounded for $|\cdot|_{\mathbf{r}}$ for all $\mathbf{r} \in C$.

Moreover, the multiset $\text{Ref}^\sharp(M \otimes F_{\text{id}})$ is the same as

$$\bigcup_{\mathbf{b}, b_1 > 0} \{(b_1, \dots, b_m, \vartheta_{\mathbf{b}, i}) | i = 1, \dots, \text{rank}(\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{b}})\} \cup \{(0, \dots, 0) \text{ rank}(\mathbf{M}_0) \text{ times}\},$$

where $\vartheta_{\underline{b},i}$ is the reduction of $x_1^{b_1} \cdots x_m^{b_m} \text{Ref}(\mathbf{M}_{\underline{b}} \otimes \mathbf{F}_{\underline{r}}; i)$ in $\mathbf{k}^{\text{alg}}$ for (any) $\underline{r} \in C$.

Proof. To get the decomposition, one first uses Proposition 2.2.14(i[#]) to show that the functions $g_i^{\#}(M, \underline{r})$ are linear when restricted to some small enough C and then invokes [KX10, Theorem 3.4.4 and Remark 3.4.7] to obtain the decomposition. The equality of two multisets is proved in [Xi09+, Theorem 4.3.8]. Note that when $b_1 = 0$, $\text{Ref}^{\#}(M \otimes \mathbf{F}_{\text{id}})$ will always give $(0, \dots, 0)$. (See also Remark 2.1.17.) $\square$

2.3.3. Theorem. *Let M be a differential module over $R_{n,m}$ of rank d . The following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) *The functions $g_1^{\#}(M, \underline{r}), \dots, g_d^{\#}(M, \underline{r})$ are linear in $\underline{r} \in [0, \infty)^m \times \{0\}^{n-m}$. If we write $g_i^{\#}(M, \underline{r}) = b_{i1}r_1 + \cdots + b_{im}r_m$ for any i and any $\underline{r} \in [0, \infty)^m \times \{0\}^{n-m}$, then, for any $\underline{r} \in (0, \infty)^m \times \{0\}^{n-m}$, we have $x_1^{b_{i1}} \cdots x_m^{b_{im}} \text{Ref}^{\#}(M \otimes \mathbf{F}_{\underline{r}}; i) \in \bigoplus_{\omega \in \text{Log}^*} \mathfrak{R} \cdot \omega$ for some local ring $\mathfrak{R}$ finite over $k[[x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n]]$, whose reduction modulo $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{R}}$ is nontrivial.*

(2) *If we define $b_{ij} = \text{IR}(M \otimes \mathbf{F}_{(j)}; i)$ and if $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ is some index satisfying*

$$(2.5) \quad \min\{i | b_{ij} = 0\} \geq \min\{i | b_{ij'} = 0\} \text{ for any } j \neq j',$$

we have, for some $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m$ with $\sigma(1) = j$, $\text{Ref}^{\#}(M \otimes_{R_{n,m}} \mathbf{F}_{\sigma}; i)$ for each i is of the form

$$(b_{i\sigma(1)}, \dots, b_{i\sigma(m)}, \vartheta_i),$$

where ϑ_i is some element in $\bigoplus_{\omega \in \text{Log}^*} \mathfrak{R} \cdot \omega$ for some local ring $\mathfrak{R}$ finite over $k[[x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n]]$, whose reduction modulo $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{R}}$ is nontrivial.

(3) *For any $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ and any $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m$ such that $b_{ij} > 0$ and $\sigma(1) = j$, $\text{Ref}^{\#}(M \otimes_{R_{n,m}} \mathbf{F}_{\sigma}; i)$ is of the form $(b_{i\sigma(1)}, \dots, b_{i\sigma(m)}, \vartheta_i)$, where ϑ_i is some element in $\bigoplus_{\omega \in \text{Log}^*} \mathfrak{R} \cdot \omega$ for some local ring $\mathfrak{R}$ finite over $k[[x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n]]$, whose reduction modulo $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{R}}$ is nontrivial.*

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (3) follows immediately from Proposition 2.3.2 above because C therein is a subset of $(0, \infty)^m \times \{0\}^{n-m}$. (3) $\Rightarrow$ (2) is tautology. So it suffices to prove (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1). By Proposition 2.3.2 again, there exist $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_m > 0$ and C therein, such that (2.3) and (2.4) holds. We prove that $g_i^{\#}(M, \underline{r})$ are linear in $\underline{r}$ for $\underline{r} \in [0, \infty)^m \times \{0\}^{n-m}$ by induction on i . For $i = 0$, this is void. Assume that $G_{i-1}^{\#}(M, \underline{r})$ is linear in $\underline{r}$; we show that $g_i^{\#}(M, \underline{r})$ is linear in $\underline{r}$. By the convexity in Proposition 2.2.14(i), each $G_i^{\#}(M, \underline{r})$ and hence $g_i^{\#}(M, \underline{r}) = G_i^{\#}(M, \underline{r}) - G_{i-1}^{\#}(M, \underline{r})$ is convex over $[0, \infty)^m \times \{0\}^{n-m}$. Moreover, we know that condition (2) implies that $g_i^{\#}(M, \underline{r}) = b_{i1}r_1 + \cdots + b_{im}r_m$ for all $r \in C$ and the axes $\mathbb{R}e_1 \cup \cdots \cup \mathbb{R}e_m$. Hence, this forces the equality $g_i^{\#}(M, r) = b_{i1}r_1 + \cdots + b_{im}r_m$ for all $r \in [0, \infty)^m \times \{0\}^{n-m}$, finishing the induction process. The statement on refined partially intrinsic radii follows from Proposition 2.3.2 and the variation property in Proposition 2.2.14(iii). $\square$

2.3.4. Pointed local setup. From now on, we assume that we are in one of the following pointed local situations (centered at z).

(a) (Geometric) Assume that we are in the geometric local situation 1.2.1(a), that is X being a smooth affine variety with an étale morphism $p : X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ such that $D = p^{-1}(V(x_1 \cdots x_m))$, where the affine space has standard coordinates $x_1, \dots, x_n$. We assume moreover that $p^{-1}(0) = \{z\}$ is a single closed point, and $D_1 = \pi^{-1}(V(x_1)), \dots, D_m = \pi^{-1}(V(x_m))$ are all irreducible. We have $D = \bigcup_{j=1}^m D_j$.

(b) (Formal) Let $X = \text{Spec } k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$, $D_1 = V(x_1), \dots, D_m = V(x_m)$, $D = \bigcup_{j=1}^m D_j$, and z be the origin.

We set $U = X - D$ and let $j : U \hookrightarrow X$ denote the natural embedding. Let M be a vector bundle on U with an integrable connection. In the formal case, $\mathcal{O}_U \simeq R_{n,m} = k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]][x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_m^{-1}]$.

2.3.5. Irregularity $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisors. For each j , let $F_{(j)} = k(X)^{\wedge, D_j}$ denote the completion of the fraction field $k(X)$ with respect to the height 1 valuation corresponding to D_j . Define the *irregularity $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisors* to be

$$R_i = \sum_{j=1}^r b_{ij} \cdot D_j = \sum_{j=1}^r \text{Irr}(M \otimes F_{(j)}; i) \cdot D_j,$$

for $i = 1, \dots, d$. They are divisors of X with rational coefficients.

2.3.6. Definition. Let N be a positive integer such that Nb_{ij} are all integers. Under the two setups of 2.3.4, we consider the following:

(a) In the geometric case, consider the morphism $g : \mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ given by $x_i \mapsto x_i^N$ if $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $x_i \mapsto x_i$ if $i = m+1, \dots, n$. Denote $X' = X \times_{\mathbb{A}^n, g} \mathbb{A}^n$. Let $\pi : X' \rightarrow X$ denote the natural morphism; then there exists a *unique* closed point z' lying above z .

(b) Consider $\pi : X' = \text{Spec}(R_{n,0}[x_1^{1/N}, \dots, x_m^{1/N}]) \rightarrow X$. Let z' denote the origin point of X' .

Let D'_j be the reduced subscheme of $\pi^{-1}(D_j)$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$. Write $D' = \cup_{j=1}^m D'_j$ and set $R'_i = \pi^*(R_i)$ as divisors; note that R'_i 's now are genuine divisors (with integer coefficients).

Now, we say that M is *clean* at z if, for some $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ satisfying (2.5), there exists an (integral) scheme $\tilde{D}_j$ *finite* over a neighborhood of z in D'_j such that, after reordering the refined irregularities $\text{Ref}_{ij}(M) = \text{Ref}_{ij}(\pi^*M)$, we have

$$(2.6) \quad \text{Ref}_{ij}(M) \in \Omega_{X'}^1(\log D')(R'_i) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}_j}, \text{ and it generates a direct summand of the latter.}$$

This does not depend on the choice of N because the morphism π is log-étale; neither does it depend on the choice of $\tilde{D}_j$ (up to further shrinking the neighborhood of z) by Lemma 2.3.9 below.

2.3.7. Remark. Recall that when defining the refined irregularities in 2.1.8, there is no canonical choice of the order of refined irregularities. However, in Definition 2.3.6, the choice of the order does matter; it is related to the ordering given by some valuation on higher dimensional local fields. See Theorem 2.3.10 below.

2.3.8. Remark. In the geometric case, M is clean at z if and only if $M \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X,z}^\wedge$ is clean at z in the formal case by faithful flatness of $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}_j,z}^\wedge$ over $\mathcal{O}_{D_j,z}$.

2.3.9. Lemma. Let $R \rightarrow R'$ be an integral extension of rings and let M be a finite free R -module. Then, an element $x \in M$ generates a direct summand of M as R -modules if and only if x generates a direct summand of $M \otimes_R R'$ as R' -modules.

Proof. If $R \cdot x$ is a direct summand of M , then $R' \cdot x$ is a direct summand of $M \otimes_R R'$ by tensoring with R' . Conversely, we assume that $R' \cdot x$ is a direct summand of $M \otimes_R R'$. Pick a basis $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_l$ of M and write $x = x_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + \dots + x_l \mathbf{e}_l$ for $x_1, \dots, x_l \in R$. Then we know that $x_1, \dots, x_l$ generate the unit ideal of R' and hence they also generate the unit ideal of R by going-up theorem [Eis95, Proposition 4.15]. $\square$

2.3.10. Theorem. If M is clean at z if and only if the equivalence conditions in Theorem 2.3.3 hold. In this case, the condition (2.6) also holds for any $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and any $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ such that $b_{ij} > 0$.

Proof. We may replace M by $M \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X,z}^\wedge$ and assume that M is a differential module over $R_{n,m}$. We observe that the condition (2.6) is preserved when replacing X by X' , D by D' , and k by k^{alg} as in Definition 2.3.6. Hence, we may assume that b_{ji} are all integers and $X' = X$, $D' = D$, and $k = k^{\text{alg}}$ in Definition 2.3.6.

We first prove that the cleanness condition at z implies the condition (2) of Theorem 2.3.3. We note that for any $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m$, the valuation on $k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ induced by the natural embedding to F_σ extends (not uniquely) to $\tilde{D}_j$. The cleanness of M at z implies that, for some $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ satisfying (2.5), we have $\text{Ref}_{ij}(M) \in \Omega_X^1(\log D)(R_i) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}_j}$ for each i and it generates a direct summand of the latter. In explicit terms, this means that if we write out

$$\text{Ref}_{ij}(M) = x_1^{-b_{i1}} \dots x_m^{-b_{im}} (\theta_{i1} \frac{dx_1}{x_1} + \dots + \theta_{im} \frac{dx_m}{x_m} + \theta_{i,m+1} dx_{m+1} + \dots + \theta_{in} dx_n),$$

we have $\theta_{ij} \in \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}_j}$ and $\theta_{i1}, \dots, \theta_{in}$ together generate the unit ideal. This implies that, for (any) $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m$ with $\sigma(1) = j$, $\text{Ref}^\#(M)$ consists of

$$(b_{i\sigma(1)}, \dots, b_{i\sigma(m)}, \bar{\theta}_{i1} \frac{dx_1}{x_1} + \dots + \bar{\theta}_{im} \frac{dx_m}{x_m} + \bar{\theta}_{i,m+1} dx_{m+1} + \dots + \bar{\theta}_{in} dx_n),$$

where $\bar{\theta}_{ij}$ is the reduction of θ_{ij} in $\mathfrak{R} = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}_j} / \sqrt{(x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_j, \dots, x_m)}$. Hence, M satisfies the condition (2) in Theorem 2.3.3, and hence all other equivalent conditions.

Conversely, we assume the equivalent conditions in Theorem 2.3.3. By Theorem 2.2.15, M is a direct sum of differential modules over $R_{n,m}$ of pure partially intrinsic radii (when tensored with $F_{\underline{r}}$ for any $\underline{r} \in (0, \infty)^m \times \{0\}^{n-m}$). So, we replace M by each direct summand and assume that M has this property itself. In particular, we assume $b_{1j} = \dots = b_{dj}$ for any j . Now, we prove that the condition (3) of Theorem 2.3.3 implies condition (2.6) for any $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ with $b_{1j} > 0$. We first invoke Lemma 2.1.13 to show that there exists an integral scheme $\tilde{D}_j$ finite over D_j such that if we write

$$\text{Ref}_{ij}(M) = x_1^{-b_1} \dots x_m^{-b_m} (\theta_{i1} \frac{dx_1}{x_1} + \dots + \theta_{im} \frac{dx_m}{x_m} + \theta_{i,m+1} dx_{m+1} + \dots + \theta_{in} dx_n),$$

then we have $\theta_{ij'} \in \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}_j}[1/x_1 \dots \hat{x}_j \dots x_m]$ for any $j' \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. We fix $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m$ such that $\sigma(1) = j$. By the condition (3) of Theorem 2.3.3, we know that for *any* embedding $\iota : \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}_j}[[x_j]] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{\sigma}^{\text{alg}}$ extending the natural embedding $\mathcal{O}_{D_j}[[x_j]] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{\sigma}$, we have $\min\{\mathbf{v}(\iota(\theta_{i1})), \dots, \mathbf{v}(\iota(\theta_{in}))\} = \underline{0}$ for any fixed i . This in particular implies that $\theta_{ij'} \in \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}_j}$ for all i and j' . Note that for any point $\tilde{z}$ of $\tilde{D}_j$ lying above z , we can find an embedding ι as above such that the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_{\tilde{z}}$ is given by $\{x \in \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}_j} | \mathbf{v}(\iota(x)) > \underline{0}\}$. Hence, for any fixed i , there exists some $\theta_{ij'} \notin \mathfrak{m}_{\tilde{z}}$. This implies that, for any fixed i , $\text{Ref}_{ij}(M) \in \Omega_{X'}^1(\log D)(R_i) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}_j}$ and it generates a direct summand. $\square$

2.3.11. Remark. When z is the intersection of exactly n divisors $D_1, \dots, D_n$, the proof of the theorem implies that the cleanness at z is equivalent to numerical cleanness at z . This is however false for other points on D . See Remark 2.3.13.

2.3.12. Theorem. *If $M \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X,z}^{\wedge}$ is numerically clean at z then M is clean at z .*

Proof. The proof is very similar to the proof above, but we have to be very careful about several subtle places, which hints why the converse of the theorem does not hold in general. By Remark 2.3.8, it suffices to assume that we are in the formal situation, that is M is a finite and flat differential module over $R_{n,m}$. We note that numerical cleanness condition is preserved when replacing X by X' as in Definition 2.3.6. Hence, we may assume that r_{ji} are all integers and $X' = X$ and $D' = D$ in Definition 2.3.6. Also, both conditions are preserved if we replace k by its algebraic closure. Hence, we may assume that k is algebraically closed.

By Theorem 2.2.5(ii), we may assume that $M \otimes F_{\underline{r}}$ has pure intrinsic radii $b_1 r_1 + \dots + b_n r_n$ for all $\underline{r} \in [0, \infty)^n$ (with respect to the full log-structure). When $b_1 = \dots = b_n = 0$, M is regular and it is obviously clean at z . From now on, we assume that this is not the case; without loss of generality, we assume that $b_1 > 0$. As in proof of Theorem 2.3.10, we first invoke Lemma 2.1.13 to show that there exists an integral scheme $\tilde{D}_1$ finite over D_1 such that if we write

$$\text{Ref}_{ij}(M) = x_1^{-b_1} \dots x_m^{-b_m} (\theta_{i1} \frac{dx_1}{x_1} + \dots + \theta_{im} \frac{dx_m}{x_m} + \theta_{i,m+1} dx_{m+1} + \dots + \theta_{in} dx_n),$$

then we have $\theta_{ij} \in \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}_1}[1/x_2 \dots x_m]$ for all $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. (Note that this is written in a form adapted to the log-structure $\text{Log} = \{\partial_1, \dots, \partial_m\}$ but not the full log-structure.) Applying Theorem 2.3.3 to $M \otimes R_{n,n}$, we know that for *any* embedding $\iota : \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}_1}[[x_1]] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{\text{id}}^{\text{alg}}$ extending the natural embedding $\mathcal{O}_{D_1}[[x_1]] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{\text{id}}$, we have

$$\min\{\mathbf{v}(\iota(\theta_{i1})), \dots, \mathbf{v}(\iota(\theta_{im})), \mathbf{v}(\iota(x_{m+1}\theta_{i,m+1})), \dots, \mathbf{v}(\iota(x_n\theta_{in}))\} = \underline{0}$$

for any fixed i . Note that, for $j = m+1, \dots, n$, $\theta_{ij} \in \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}_1}[1/x_2 \dots x_m]$ together with $\mathbf{v}(\iota(x_j\theta_{ij})) \geq 0$ force $\mathbf{v}(x_j\theta_{ij}) > \underline{0}$. Hence, we essentially have $\min\{\mathbf{v}(\iota(\theta_{i1})), \dots, \mathbf{v}(\iota(\theta_{im}))\} = \underline{0}$ (as oppose to taking the minimal among all θ_{ij} 's as did in Theorem 2.3.10). Now, we proceed exactly as in Theorem 2.3.10 to conclude. $\square$

2.3.13. Remark. We remark that cleanness does not imply numerical cleanness in general. We construct a counterexample as follows. Let $X = \mathbb{A}^2$ with coordinates x and y , and let D be the x -axis. Consider the differential module $M = k[x, y][y^{-1}] \cdot \mathbf{e}$ given by $\partial_x \mathbf{e} = \frac{1}{y^2} \mathbf{e}$ and $\partial_y \mathbf{e} = -2\frac{x}{y^3} \mathbf{e}$; in other words, $\mathbf{e}$ is a proxy of e^{x/y^2} . The refined partially intrinsic radii of M along D is $\frac{1}{y^2} dx - \frac{2x}{y^2} \frac{dy}{y}$, which is clean everywhere on D by definition. However, at the origin, the corresponding function $g_1(M, F_{r_1, r_2}) = \max\{2r_2 - r_1, 0\}$ for $r_1, r_2 \in [0, \infty)^2$, which is not linear.

Now, we switch back to the global situation.

2.3.14. Definition. Let X be a smooth variety of dimension n over k and let $D = \cup D_j$ be a divisor with strict simple normal crossings, where D_j are irreducible components of D .

We say that M is *(numerically) clean*, if for all closed point $z \in X$, $M \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X,z}^\wedge$ is (numerically) clean. Theorem 2.3.12 implies that numerically cleanness $\Rightarrow$ cleanness.

2.3.15. Remark. Even if M is clean over X and $b_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ for all i, j (which implies (2.5)), it does *not* mean that Definition 2.3.6 holds globally, i.e., in the global situation above, we may not be able to find an integral scheme $\tilde{D}_j$ finite over D_j such that, after reordering the refined irregularities $\text{Ref}_{ij}(M)$, we have that $\text{Ref}_{ij}(M) \in \Omega_X^1(\log D)(R_i) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}_j}$ and it locally generates a direct summand. The existence of $\tilde{D}_j$ is not the problem, but the reordering of refined irregularities in Definition 2.3.6 might be different from points to points; this presents a difficulty in reasonably define the $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor R_i . In general, we do not expect any a priori reason for a uniform choice of R_i .

On the other hands, if M is clean over X and we have strict inequality $b_{1j} > \dots > b_{dj} > 0$ for all j (which automatically implies $b_{ij} \in \mathbb{N}$ and (2.5)), then there is a unique ordering of these refined irregularities that makes Definition 2.3.6 work globally; in this case, there exists an integral scheme $\tilde{D}_j$ finite over D_j such that $\text{Ref}_{ij}(M) \in \Omega_X^1(\log D)(R_i) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}_j}$ and it locally generates a direct summand.

2.3.16. Proposition. *Keep the notation as in previous definition. The set of closed points V of X at which M is clean, is the set of closed points of an open subvariety of X . We call this open subvariety the clean locus of M . Moreover, its complement has codimension ≥ 2 .*

Proof. Only in this proof, all varieties are viewed as a set of its closed points with Zariski topology. To prove the proposition, we may assume that we are in the geometric local situation 1.2.1. In this case, D is the union of irreducible divisors $D_1, \dots, D_m$ of X . It suffices to prove that the intersection of the clean locus V with each D_j is open and dense in D_j . By reordering D_j , we may assume

$$\text{if } j < j', \quad \min\{i | b_{ij} = 0\} \leq \min\{i | b_{ij'} = 0\}.$$

We now prove that $V \cap D_j$ is open and dense in D_j by induction on j . (By convention, we let $D_0 = \emptyset$.) The statement is void if $j = 0$. Assume that the statement is proved for all $j < j_0$ and we prove it for $j = j_0$. By Theorem 2.3.10, we know that the set $V \cap D_{j_0}$ is contained in the set W where the condition (2.6) is fulfilled for each i for which $b_{ij_0} > 0$; it follows from the definition that W is an open and dense subset of D_{j_0} . So, it suffices to prove that $W \setminus V$ is closed in W . Since condition (2.5) automatically holds for closed points $z \in D_{j_0}^\circ = D_{j_0} \setminus \cup_{j < j_0} D_j$, we have $V \cap D_{j_0}^\circ = W \cap D_{j_0}^\circ$. It suffices to show that $(D_{j_0} \setminus D_{j_0}^\circ) \setminus V$ is closed in $(D_{j_0} \setminus D_{j_0}^\circ)$. By induction hypothesis, for any $j < j_0$, $D_j \setminus V$ is closed in D_j and hence $(D_j \cap D_{j_0}) \setminus V$ is closed in $D_j \cap D_{j_0}$; this implies that $(D_{j_0} \setminus D_{j_0}^\circ) \setminus V$ is closed in $(D_{j_0} \setminus D_{j_0}^\circ)$, finishing the inductive proof. $\square$

2.3.17. Remark. The cleanness condition is a very restrictive condition. However, Kedlaya [Ked10b, Ked11] proved that, after certain blowups, one can achieve this condition. The precise statement is as follows.

2.3.18. Theorem. *Let X be a smooth variety of dimension n over k and let D be a divisor with strict simple normal crossings. Let M be a differential module over $X - D$. Then there exists a proper birational morphism of smooth pairs $f : (X', D') \rightarrow (X, D)$ such that $f|_{X' - D'} : X' - D' \rightarrow X - D$ is an isomorphism and f^*M admits a good formal structure at each closed point of X' . In particular, f^*M is clean on X' .*

2.3.19. Remark. One might question the need of introducing the (weaker version of) cleanness since we can achieve good formal structure under proper birational pullback. One reason is that the current version of cleanness is closely tied to the conjecture log-characteristic cycles. Another reason is that, in the analogous positive characteristic situation, one does not have a notion of “good formal structure”. Also, one does not expect to achieve “numerically cleanness” under birational proper pullback; we defer the discussion of this to a later paper in this sequel.

3. MAIN THEOREM

3.1. Statement of the main theorem.

3.1.1. Definition. We now define the conjectural log-characteristic cycles. We first assume that we are in the local setup 1.2.1. We do not assume that M is clean, and hence the conjectural log-characteristic cycle may not be equal to the actual log-characteristic cycle. (See Proposition 3.2.2 though.)

We fix $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Let $F_{(j)} = k(X)^{\wedge, D_j}$ denote the completion of the fraction field $k(X)$ with respect to the height 1 valuation corresponding to D_j ; let $\mathfrak{o}_{(j)}$ and $\kappa_{(j)}$ be its ring of integers and residue field, respectively. Now, we pass to the CDVF situation 1.2.1(c). We defined the refined irregularities of $M_{(j)} = M \otimes F_{(j)}$ in 2.1.8:

$$(3.1) \quad \text{Ref}(M_{(j)}; i) \in \bigoplus_{l=1}^n x_j^{-\text{Irr}(M_{(j)}; i)} \kappa_{(j)}^{\text{alg}} \frac{dx_l}{x_l} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, d.$$

We first assume that all refined irregularities of $M_{(j)}$ come from the same $\text{Gal}(F'_{(j)}/F_{(j)})$ -orbit for some finite Galois extension $F'_{(j)}$ of $F_{(j)}$; in particular, $M_{(j)}$ has pure irregularities. For each i , we view $\text{Ref}(M_{(j)}; i)$ as a homomorphism

$$\text{Ref}(M_{(j)}; i) : x_j^{\text{Irr}(M_{(j)}; i)} \kappa_{F'_{(j)}} \rightarrow \Omega_X^1(\log D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \kappa_{F'_{(j)}}.$$

This defines a line L_i in the vector space $T^*X^{\log} \times_X \text{Spec } \kappa_{F'_{(j)}}$. Consider the pushforward morphism $\pi : T^*X^{\log} \times_X \text{Spec } \kappa_{F'_{(j)}} \rightarrow T^*X^{\log} \times_X \text{Spec } \kappa_{F_{(j)}}$. Let $\overline{L}_i$ denote the closure of $\pi_*(L_i)$ in $T^*X^{\log} \times_X D_j$.

We define the *conjectural log-characteristic cycle* over D_j to be

$$\text{ZCar}'_j(M) = \frac{\text{rank } M \cdot \text{Irr}(M_{(j)})}{[F'_{(j)} : F_{(j)}]} \overline{L}_i.$$

By Corollary 2.1.11, the coefficient of the cycle $\overline{L}_i$ is an integer; moreover, the definition of $\text{ZCar}'_j(M)$ does not depend on the choice of $F'_{(j)}$ and i .

For general M , We write $M_{(j)}$ as a direct sum of $M_{(j), \{G_\vartheta\}}$ by Proposition 2.1.10(iii), where $M_{(j), \{G_\vartheta\}}$ satisfies the assumption above. We define the *conjectural log-characteristic cycle* over D_j to be $\text{ZCar}'_j(M) = \sum_{\{G_\vartheta\}} \text{ZCar}'_j(M_{(j), \{G_\vartheta\}})$.

Finally, we define the *conjectural log-characteristic cycle* of M to be

$$\text{ZCar}'(M) = \text{rank}(M) \cdot [X] + \sum_{j=1}^m \text{ZCar}'_j(M),$$

where $[X]$ is the zero section of $T^*X^{\log}$.

We use $\text{Car}'(M)$ to denote the support of $\text{ZCar}'(M)$, called the *conjectural log-characteristic variety* of M .

Now, we assume that we are in the global situation 1.2.10; the smooth pair (X, D) is covered by open subvarieties $(V_i, V_i \cap D)$, each of which satisfies the local situation 1.2.1(a). We define the *conjectural log-characteristic cycle* of M to be the cycle $\text{ZCar}'(M)$ of $T^*X^{\log}$ whose restriction to each V_i is the conjectural log-characteristic cycle $\text{ZCar}'(M|_{V_i})$ defined above.

We first list several immediate properties of $\text{ZCar}'(M)$.

3.1.2. Lemma. *Assume that we are in one of the following situations:*

(i) *We are in the geometric local setup 1.2.1(a). Let z be a closed point of $p^{-1}(\{0\})$. Then we have a natural morphism $g : X' = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{X,z}^\wedge \rightarrow X$; g^*M may be viewed as a vector bundle over $U' = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,z}^\wedge[1/x_1 \cdots x_m])$. Write $j' : U' \rightarrow X'$ for the natural embedding.*

(ii) *We are in geometric or formal setup 1.2.1(a)(b). Let η_1 denote the generic point of D_1 . We consider the natural morphism $g : X' = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{X, \eta_1}^\wedge \rightarrow X$; g^*M may be viewed as a vector bundle over $U' = \text{Spec}(k(X)^{\wedge, \eta_1})$. Write $j' : U' \rightarrow X'$ for the natural embedding.*

(iii) We are in local situation 1.2.1. Let X'' be étale over X and let $X' = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X''}[x_1^{1/h_1}, \dots, x_m^{1/h_m}])$ for some positive integers $h_1, \dots, h_m$. We have a natural morphism $g : X' \rightarrow X$ and g^*M becomes a vector bundle over $U' = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X'}[1/x_1 \cdots 1/x_m])$; write $j' : U' \rightarrow X'$ for the natural embedding. Then $\operatorname{ZCar}'(g^*M) = \tilde{g}^*(\operatorname{ZCar}'(M))$, where $\tilde{g} : T^*X'^{\log} \rightarrow T^*X^{\log}$ is the natural morphism.

Proof. Since all the morphisms g involved are (formally) log-étale, it is straightforward to check the equalities of cycles. $\square$

3.1.3. Remark. When M is clean over X , we may globally construct the conjectural log-characteristic cycle as follows. Write $D = \cup_{j=1}^r D_j$ as the union of irreducible components. Fix $j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, and let $F_{(j)} = k(X)^{\wedge, D_j}$. The refined irregularities of $M_{(j)} = M \otimes F_{(j)}$ are

$$\operatorname{Ref}(M_{(j)}; i) \in \Omega_X^1(\log D) \otimes \pi_{F_{(j)}}^{-\operatorname{Irr}(M_{(j)}; i)} \kappa_{F_{(j)}^{\text{alg}}}, \quad i = 1, \dots, d.$$

There exists a finite extension $F'_{(j)}$ of $F_{(j)}$ such that all the coefficients of $\operatorname{Ref}(M_{(j)}; i)$ lie in $F'_{(j)}$. Let D'_j denote the integral closure of D_j in $\kappa'_{F_{(j)}}$. Since we have assumed that M is clean over X , there exists $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor $R_i^{(j)} = \sum_l b_{il}^{(j)} D_l$ such that

$$\operatorname{Ref}(M_{(j)}; i) \in \Omega_X^1(\log D)(R_i^{(j)}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_{D'_j}$$

and it generates a direct summand of the latter. In this case, we view $\operatorname{Ref}(M_{(j)}; i)$ as a morphism from $\mathcal{O}_{D'_j}(R_i^{(j)})$ to $\Omega_X^1(\log D)$ and let L_{ij} denote its image, viewed as a line subbundle of the base change of the cotangent bundle $T^*X^{\log} \times_X D'_j$. We define

$$\operatorname{ZCar}'_j(M) = \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\operatorname{Irr}(M_{(j)}; i)}{[F'_{(j)} : F_{(j)}]} \pi_{j*}(L_{ij}),$$

where π_{j*} is the natural morphism $T^*X^{\log} \times_X D'_j \rightarrow T^*X^{\log} \times_X D_j$. This agrees with the definition above.

We remind the reader again that $b_{il}^{(j)}$ may not be the same as b_{il} . (See Remark 2.3.15.) We only know that the multiset of numbers $\{b_{il}^{(j)} | i = 1, \dots, d\}$ is the same as $\{b_{il} | i = 1, \dots, d\} = \operatorname{Irr}(M \otimes F_{(l)})$ (but possibly in different order). More generally, whenever $j, j_1, \dots, j_t \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ such that $D_j \cap D_{j_1} \cap \dots \cap D_{j_t} \neq \emptyset$, the cleanness condition at any point of the intersection implies the equality of multisets of t -tuples

$$(3.2) \quad \{(b_{ij_1}^{(j)}, \dots, b_{ij_t}^{(j)}) \mid i = 1, \dots, d\} = \{(b_{ij_1}, \dots, b_{ij_t}) \mid i = 1, \dots, d\}$$

Compare Remark 2.3.15.

The following is the main theorem of this paper; its proof will occupy the rest of the section.

3.1.4. Theorem. *Let X be a smooth variety over k and let D be a divisor with strict simple normal crossings. Let (M, ∇) be a vector bundle over $U = X - D$ with an integrable connection. Let $j : U \hookrightarrow X$ denote the natural inclusion. Assume that M is clean on X . Then $\operatorname{ZCar}'(M) = \operatorname{ZCar}(j_*M)$.*

3.1.5. Corollary. *Keep the notation as in Theorem 3.1.4. Assume that (M, ∇) is clean on X . Let R_i denote the ramification $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor. Then we have*

$$(3.3) \quad \chi_{\text{dR}}(M) = \sum_{i=1}^d \deg(c(\Omega_X^1(\log D)) \cap (1 - R_i)^{-1})_{T^*X^{\log}},$$

where $c(\cdot)$ denote the total Chern class.

Proof. By Theorem 1.4.8 and Theorem 3.1.4, we have

$$\chi_{\text{dR}}(M) = (-1)^n \cdot \deg([X], \operatorname{ZCar}(j_*M))_{T^*X^{\log}} = (-1)^n \cdot \deg([X], \operatorname{ZCar}'(j_*M))_{T^*X^{\log}}.$$

It suffices to compute the latter intersection number. For this, we use the description of $\mathrm{ZCar}(j_*M)$ in Remark 3.1.3.

$$\begin{aligned} ([X], \mathrm{ZCar}'(j_*M))_{T^*X^{\log}} &= d([X], [X])_{T^*X^{\log}} + \sum_{j=1}^m ([X], \mathrm{ZCar}'_j(j_*M))_{T^*X^{\log}} \\ &= d([X], [X])_{T^*X^{\log}} + \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\mathrm{Irr}(M_{(j)}; i)}{[F'_{(j)} : F_{(j)}]} ([X], \pi_{j*}(L_{ij}))_{T^*X^{\log}} \end{aligned}$$

By [Ful98], the last intersection is given by the intersection of the total Chern class of $\Omega_X^1(\log D)$ with the Segre class of D'_j in L_{ij} . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{\mathrm{dR}}(M) &= (-1)^n \cdot \deg \left(d([X], [X])_{T^*X^{\log}} + \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\mathrm{Irr}(M_{(j)}; i)}{[F'_{(j)} : F_{(j)}]} (c(\Omega_X^1(\log D)) \cdot \pi_{j*} c(\mathcal{O}_{D'_j}(-R_i^{(j)}))^{-1})_{T^*X^{\log}} \right) \\ &= (-1)^n \cdot \deg \left(d \cdot c_n(\Omega_X^1(\log D)) + \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^d \mathrm{Irr}(M_{(j)}; i) c(\Omega_X^1(\log D)) \cdot D_j \cdot (1 - R_i^{(j)})^{-1} \right)_{T^*X^{\log}} \\ &= (-1)^n \sum_{i=1}^d \deg \left(c_n(\Omega_X^1(\log D)) + \sum_{j=1}^m \mathrm{Irr}(M_{(j)}; i) c(\Omega_X^1(\log D)) \cdot D_j \cdot (1 - R_i)^{-1} \right)_{T^*X^{\log}} \\ &= (-1)^n \sum_{i=1}^d \deg \left(c_n(\Omega_X^1(\log D)) + c(\Omega_X^1(\log D)) \cdot R_i \cdot (1 - R_i)^{-1} \right)_{T^*X^{\log}} \\ &= (-1)^n \sum_{i=1}^d \deg (c(\Omega_X^1(\log D)) \cdot (1 - R_i)^{-1})_{T^*X^{\log}}. \end{aligned}$$

Here the third equality follows from (3.2). $\square$

3.1.6. Remark. It is however not clear to us why the intersection number on the right hand side of (3.3) should a priori give an integer. One may view this as some sort of global version of Hasse-Arf Theorem.

3.2. Overall strategy of the proof. In this subsection, we reduce the proof of Theorem 3.1.4 to the calculation on $R_{n,m}$.

First of all, Theorem 3.1.4 is local on X , and we may assume that we are in the geometric local situation 1.2.1(a).

3.2.1. Reduction steps. We first prove that the set of closed points on the log-characteristic variety is contained in the set of closed points of the conjectural one, i.e. $|\mathrm{Car}(j_*M)| \subseteq |\mathrm{Car}'(M)|$.

To prove this, we may assume that k is algebraically closed. We need only to show that for each closed point $z \in X$, we have

$$|\mathrm{Car}(j_*M)| \cap (T^*X^{\log} \times_X \{z\}) \subseteq |\mathrm{Car}'(M)| \cap (T^*X^{\log} \times_X \{z\}).$$

For this, we may base change to $\mathcal{O}_{X,z}^\wedge \simeq R_{n,0} = k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ upon choosing a neighborhood of z in X to form a pointed geometric local situation 2.3.4(a) (centered at z). Now, $j_*M \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X,z}^\wedge$ becomes a differential module over $R_{n,m} = k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]][x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_m^{-1}]$. By Corollary 1.2.9(ii) and Lemma 3.1.2(iii), we need to show that

$$(3.4) \quad |\mathrm{Car}(j_*M \otimes R_{n,0})| \cap (T^*\mathrm{Spec}(R_{n,0})^{\log} \times \{z\}) \subseteq |\mathrm{Car}'(M \otimes R_{n,0})| \cap (T^*\mathrm{Spec}(R_{n,0})^{\log} \times \{z\}).$$

We defer the discussion of its proof to 3.2.4 below.

We now assume $|\mathrm{Car}(j_*M)| \subseteq |\mathrm{Car}'(M)|$. It follows immediately that $\mathrm{Car}(j_*M)$ is restricted within the union of some (finite) set of (n -dimensional) varieties. In particular, they are either the zero section $[X]$ of $T^*X^{\log}$ or some line bundles over the irreducible components of D (because $\mathrm{ZCar}'(M)$ is so). We need only to prove that the multiplicities on these varieties agree. In fact, to prove this, we do not even need to assume that M is clean on X , i.e., we will prove the following proposition, whose proof will be carried out in 3.2.7.

3.2.2. Proposition. *Keep the notation as in Theorem 3.1.4 except that we do not assume that M is clean on X . Then $\mathrm{ZCar}(j_*M) - \mathrm{ZCar}'(M)$ is a non-negative linear combination of cycles in $T^*X^{\log}$ supported on $T^*X^{\log} \times_X W$ for some closed subvariety $W \subseteq D$ of codimension ≥ 1 .*

3.2.3. Remark. (Under the cleanness assumption,) one may hope to prove $\mathrm{ZCar}'(M \otimes R_{n,0}) = \mathrm{ZCar}(j_*M \otimes R_{n,0})$ directly from local calculation. However, we do not know how to work out the detail, unless $m = 1$ or when M has a good formal structure. This is why the proof has to proceed in two steps: checking supports and then matching multiplicities.

3.2.4. Local calculation. Now, we are back to the proof of (3.4). Let $X = \mathrm{Spec} R_{n,0}$, $D = V(x_1 \cdots x_m)$ and z be the origin. Let M be a differential module over $U = \mathrm{Spec} R_{n,m}$ clean at z . We keep in mind that Corollary 1.2.9(iii) and Lemma 3.1.2(iii) always allow us to replace $R_{n,0}$ by $R_{n,0}[x_1^{1/h_1}, \dots, x_m^{1/h_m}]$ for positive integers $h_1, \dots, h_m$. By the direct sum decomposition given by combining Theorem 2.3.10 with Theorem 2.2.15(ii), we may as well assume that $M \otimes F_{\underline{r}}$ has pure partially intrinsic radii $e^{-b_1 r_1 - \cdots - b_m r_m}$ for all $\underline{r} \in [0, \infty)^m \times \{0\}^{n-m}$, and there exist $\underline{\theta} = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) \in k^n \setminus \{0\}$ and a local ring $\mathfrak{R}$ finite over $k[[x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n]]$ such that

$$x_1^{b_1} \cdots x_m^{b_m} \mathrm{Ref}^\#(M \otimes F_{\underline{r}}; i) \equiv \theta_1 \frac{dx_1}{x_1} + \cdots + \theta_m \frac{dx_m}{x_m} + \theta_{m+1} dx_{m+1} + \cdots + \theta_n dx_n \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{R}}}$$

for any i and any $\underline{r} \in (0, \infty)^m \times \{0\}^{n-m}$.

If we let ξ_j denote the image of $x_j \partial_j$ if $j \leq m$ and of ∂_j if $j > m$, $\mathrm{gr}_\bullet \mathcal{D}_X^{\log} \simeq R_{n,0}[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n]$. The claim (3.4) follows from the explicit calculation in the following two propositions.

3.2.5. Proposition. *Keep the notation as above. If $b_1 = \cdots = b_m = 0$, $\mathrm{ZCar}'(M) = d \cdot [X]$. If $(b_1, \dots, b_m) \neq (0, \dots, 0)$, then $|\mathrm{Car}'(M)| \cap (T^*X^{\log} \times_X \{z\})$ is the closed subset Z_ϑ defined by $x_1 = \cdots = x_n = 0$ and $\theta_j \xi_i = \theta_i \xi_j$ for all $i \neq j$; in particular, this is a line in $T^*X^{\log} \times_X \{z\}$.*

Proof. When $b_1 = \cdots = b_m = 0$, M is regular along each of D_j and hence $\mathrm{ZCar}'(M) = d \cdot [X]$ by definition.

Now, we assume that $(b_1, \dots, b_m) \neq (0, \dots, 0)$. It suffices to prove that if $b_j \neq 0$, we have $|\mathrm{ZCar}'_j(M)| \cap (T^*X^{\log} \times_X \{z\})$ is exactly Z_ϑ . Without loss of generality, we assume $j = 1$. Recall that $F_{(1)}$ is the completion of $\mathrm{Frac}(R_{n,0})$ with respect to the x_1 -valuation. Since M is clean at z , there exists an integral scheme $\tilde{D}_1$ finite over D_1 such that

$$\mathrm{Ref}(M \otimes F_{(j)}; i) \in x_1^{-b_1} \cdots x_m^{-b_m} (\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}_1} \frac{dx_1}{x_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}_1} \frac{dx_m}{x_m} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}_1} dx_{m+1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}_1} dx_n)$$

for any i . We write $\mathrm{Ref}(M \otimes F_{(j)}; i) = \theta'_{i1} \frac{dx_1}{x_1} + \cdots + \theta'_{im} \frac{dx_m}{x_m} + \theta'_{i,m+1} dx_{m+1} + \cdots + \theta'_{in} dx_n$ for any i . Applying Proposition 2.3.2 to F_{id} implies that $\theta'_{ij} \equiv \theta_j$ modulo $\sqrt{(x_2, \dots, x_n) \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}_1}}$ for any i and j . By the definition of $\mathrm{ZCar}'_1(M)$, we see that $|\mathrm{Car}'_1(M)| \cap (T^*X^{\log} \times_X \{z\})$ is precisely given by Z_ϑ , finishing the proof. $\square$

3.2.6. Proposition. *Keep the notation as above. If $b_1 = \cdots = b_m = 0$, $\mathrm{ZCar}(M) = d \cdot [X]$. If $(b_1, \dots, b_m) \neq (0, \dots, 0)$, then $|\mathrm{ZCar}(M)| \cap (T^*X^{\log} \times_X \{z\})$ is contained in the closed subset defined by $\theta_j \xi_i = \theta_i \xi_j$ for all $i \neq j$, and $x_1 = \cdots = x_n$.*

Proof. This is the crux of the proof of the main theorem. We will prove it in Subsection 3.4. $\square$

3.2.7. Proof of Proposition 3.2.2. We remind the reader that we do not assume any cleanness on M for this proof. First of all, since M is coherent over U , the log-characteristic cycle of M over U is the same as the characteristic cycle over U , which is simply d copies of the zero section of T^*U . Hence $\mathrm{ZCar}(M) - d \cdot [X]$ is a non-negative combination of cycles of $T^*X^{\log}$ supported on $T^*X^{\log} \times_X D$.

Now, fix D_j an irreducible component of D . We need only to show that $\mathrm{ZCar}'(M) - \mathrm{ZCar}(j_*M)$ has no support above the generic point η_j of D_j . By Corollary 1.2.9, we may assume that we are in the CDVF situation 1.2.1(c), in other words, we are in the setup of Definition 3.1.1. The claim then follows from Proposition 3.2.8 below, finishing the proof.

3.2.8. Proposition. *Assume that we are in the local CDVF situation 1.2.1(c). We take $F = k(X)$, $\mathcal{O}_X = \mathfrak{o}_F$, and $\pi_F = x_1$. Let M be a $(\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n)$ -differential module of rank d over F . Then $\mathrm{ZCar}(M) = \mathrm{ZCar}'(M)$ as cycles in $T^*X^{\log} = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathfrak{o}_F[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n])$, where ξ_1 denote the image of $x_1\partial_1$ and ξ_j denote the image of ∂_j for $j = 2, \dots, n$.*

Proof. By Corollary 1.2.9(iii) and Lemma 3.1.2(iii), we can always replace F by $F'(x_1^{1/h})$ for a positive integer h and a finite extension F' of F . By Hukuhara-Levelt-Turrittin decomposition (see for example [Ked10b, Theorem 2.3.3]), we may assume that $M = E(\phi) \otimes \mathrm{Reg}$, where

- $E(\phi)$ is the differential module of rank 1, generated by $\mathbf{e}$ such that $\partial_j(\mathbf{e}) = \partial_j(\phi)\mathbf{e}$ for some $\phi \in F$, and
- Reg is a regular differential module over F .

Let $b = -v_F(\phi)$ and, let θ_1 denote the reduction of $x_1^{b+1}\partial_1(\phi)$ in κ_F and let θ_j denote the reduction of $x_1^b\partial_j(\phi)$ in κ_F for $j = 2, \dots, n$. When $b > 0$, V has pure irregularities b and pure refined irregularities

$$\mathrm{Ref}(M) = d\phi = x_1^{-b}\theta_1 \frac{dx_1}{x_1} + x_1^{-b}\theta_2 dx_2 + \dots + x_1^{-b}\theta_n dx_n \in (x_1^{-b}\kappa_F) \frac{dx_1}{x_1} \oplus \bigoplus_{j=2}^n (x_1^{-b}\kappa_F) dx_j.$$

According to Definition 3.1.1, $\mathrm{ZCar}'(M) = d \cdot [X] + d \cdot \mathrm{Irr}(V) \cdot Z_\partial$, where $[X]$ is the zero section $\xi_1 = \dots = \xi_n = 0$ and Z_∂ is the cycle defined by $\theta_j \xi_{j'} = \xi_j \theta_{j'}$ for all j, j' .

We pick an $\mathfrak{o}_F$ -lattice Reg_0 of Reg that is stable under $x_1\partial_1, \partial_2, \dots, \partial_n$. (The existence of such lattice is well-known, see [Ked10b, Proposition 2.2.15] for example.)

We use $M_0 = \mathbf{e} \otimes \mathrm{Reg}_0$ to define the log-characteristic cycle as in Definition 1.2.6. There are two cases we need to treat separately.

(i) If $b = 0$, we then have $\mathcal{D}_X^{\log} \cdot M_0 = M_0$ and it is $\mathcal{O}_X$ -coherent. In particular, we can provide it with the trivial filtration and hence $\mathrm{ZCar}(M) = d \cdot [X]$ in $T^*X^{\log}$. This agrees with the definition of $\mathrm{ZCar}'(M)$.

(ii) If $b > 0$, one easily sees by Remark 2.1.9 that $\theta_1 \in \kappa_F^\times$. This implies that $\mathfrak{o}_F \cdot x_1\partial_1(M_0) = x_1^{-b}M_0$ and $\partial_j(M_0) \subseteq x_1^{-b}M_0$ for any $j = 2, \dots, n$. Hence, $\mathcal{D}_X^{\log} \cdot M_0 = M$. We give M a filtration by $\mathrm{fil}_\alpha M = 0$ if $\alpha < 0$ and $x_1^{-\alpha b}M_0$ if $\alpha \geq 0$. We pick an $\mathfrak{o}_F$ -basis $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_d$ of Reg_0 . Then the action of ξ_j on the graded module $\mathrm{gr}_\bullet M$ is given by

$$\xi_j(x_1^{-\alpha b} \mathbf{e} \otimes \mathbf{e}_i) = \begin{cases} x_1\partial_1(\phi)x_1^{-\alpha(b+1)}\mathbf{e} \otimes \mathbf{e}_i & \text{if } j = 1 \\ \partial_j(\phi)x_1^{-\alpha(b+1)}\mathbf{e} \otimes \mathbf{e}_i & \text{if } j \in \{2, \dots, n\} \end{cases}$$

for any $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. This implies that as an $\mathfrak{o}_F[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n]$ -module, $\mathrm{gr}_\bullet M$ is isomorphic to

$$(\mathfrak{o}_F[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n]/(x_1^b\xi_1, x_1^{b+1}\partial_j(\phi)\xi_j - x_1^b\partial_1(\phi)\xi_1; j = 2, \dots, n))^{\oplus d}.$$

But we know that $x_1^{b+1}\partial_1(\phi) \equiv \theta_1$ and $x_1^b\partial_j(\phi) \equiv \theta_j$ modulo $x_1\mathfrak{o}_F$. Hence, $\mathrm{ZCar}(M)$ is exactly the same as $\mathrm{ZCar}'(M)$. $\square$

3.3. Local calculation using good formal structures. In this subsection, we prove Proposition 3.2.6 in the case when we have a good formal structure at z . This calculation is basically due to Kato [Kato94, §1]. We include it here because it is a toy version of the calculation in the next subsection. In fact, we will prove the following stronger result.

3.3.1. Proposition. *Let $X = \mathrm{Spec} R_{n,0}$, $D = V(x_1 \cdots x_m)$ and z be the origin. Let $\phi = \alpha x_1^{-b_1} \cdots x_m^{-b_m}$ with $\alpha \in R_{n,0}^\times$ and $b_1, \dots, b_m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $M = E(\phi) \otimes \mathrm{Reg}$, where $E(\phi)$ is the differential module defined in Definition 2.2.9 and Reg is a regular differential module of rank d over $R_{n,m}$. Then we have an equality of cycles*

$$\mathrm{ZCar}'(M) = \mathrm{ZCar}(M).$$

Proof. As usual, we use ξ_j denote the image of $x_j\partial_j$ if $j \leq m$ and of ∂_j otherwise, in $\mathrm{gr}_\bullet \mathcal{D}_X^{\log}$; then $\mathrm{gr}_\bullet \mathcal{D}_X^{\log} = R_{n,0}[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n]$. Write

$$d\phi = x_1^{-b_1} \cdots x_m^{-b_m} \left(\theta_1 \frac{dx_1}{x_1} + \dots + \theta_m \frac{dx_m}{x_m} + \theta_{m+1} dx_{m+1} + \dots + \theta_n dx_n \right),$$

where $\theta_j = -b_j\alpha + x_j\partial_j(\alpha)$ if $j \leq m$ and $\theta_j = \partial_j(\alpha)$ otherwise.

We first compute $\mathrm{ZCar}'(M)$. Since Reg is regular, for each $b_j > 0$, $M \otimes F_{(j)}$ has pure refined irregularities $d\phi$, viewed as an element in $x_1^{-b_1} \cdots x_m^{-b_m} \Omega_X^1(\log D) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{D_j}$. Hence $\mathrm{ZCar}'_j(M)$ is the cycle defined by $x_j = 0$ and $\bar{\theta}_l^{(j)} \xi_i = \bar{\theta}_i^{(j)} \xi_l$ for all i, l , with multiplicity b_j , where $\bar{\cdot}^{(j)}$ means the reduction from $\mathcal{O}_X$ to $\mathcal{O}_{D_j}$.

Then we compute the log-characteristic cycle $\mathrm{ZCar}(M)$. Let Reg_0 be a *regulating lattice* [Ked10b, Theorem 4.1.4 and Definition 4.1.8] of Reg , i.e., Reg_0 is a *free* differential module over $R_{n,0}$, equipped with derivations $x_1 \partial_1, \dots, x_m \partial_m, \partial_{m+1}, \dots, \partial_n$, and an isomorphism $\mathrm{Reg} \simeq \mathrm{Reg}_0 \otimes_{R_{n,0}} R_{n,m}$. We choose a basis $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_d$ for Reg_0 over $R_{n,0}$. Let $\mathbf{e}$ denote the standard generator of $E(\phi)$ as in Definition 2.2.9. As in Definition 1.2.6, we take $M_0 = \mathbf{e} \otimes \mathrm{Reg}_0$.

When $b_1 = \cdots = b_m = 0$, M_0 is stable under the action of $\mathcal{D}_X^{\log}$ and it is coherent as an $R_{n,0}$ -module. In this case, we can provide M_0 with the trivial filtration and $\mathrm{ZCar}(M)$ is simply the zero section of $T^*X^{\log}$ with multiplicity d ; this agrees with $\mathrm{ZCar}'(M)$.

From now on, we assume that b_j are not all zero. Without loss of generality, we assume that $b_1, \dots, b_l > 0$ and $b_{l+1} = \cdots = b_m = 0$. This implies that $\theta_1 = -b_1 \alpha + x_1 \partial_1(\alpha) \in R_{n,0}^\times$. Since $x_1 \partial_1(\mathbf{e}) = \theta_1 \mathbf{e}$, we conclude that $R_{n,0} \cdot x_1 \partial_1(M_0) \supseteq x_1^{-b_1} \cdots x_l^{-b_l} M_0$. Moreover, $x_j \partial_j(M_0) \subseteq x_1^{-b_1} \cdots x_l^{-b_l} M_0$ for all j . This implies that $\widetilde{M}_0 = \mathcal{D}_X^{\log} \cdot M_0 = R_{n,l} \otimes_{R_{n,0}} M_0$. We provide it with the following filtration: $\mathrm{fil}_\alpha \widetilde{M}_0 = 0$ if $\alpha < 0$ and $\mathrm{fil}_\alpha \widetilde{M}_0 = (x_1^{-b_1} \cdots x_l^{-b_l})^\alpha M_0$ if $\alpha \geq 0$. Then we have

$$\mathrm{gr}_\alpha \widetilde{M}_0 = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \alpha < 0, \\ \bigoplus_{i=1}^d R_{n,0} \cdot \mathbf{e} \otimes \mathbf{e}_i & \text{if } \alpha = 0, \\ \bigoplus_{i=1}^d R_{n,0} / (x_1^{b_1} \cdots x_l^{b_l}) \cdot x_1^{-\alpha b_1} \cdots x_l^{-\alpha b_l} \mathbf{e} \otimes \mathbf{e}_i & \text{if } \alpha > 0. \end{cases}$$

The action of ξ_j on this graded module is given by

$$\xi_j(x_1^{-\alpha b_1} \cdots x_l^{-\alpha b_l} \mathbf{e} \otimes \mathbf{e}_i) = \theta_j \cdot x_1^{-\alpha(b_1+1)} \cdots x_l^{-\alpha(b_l+1)} \mathbf{e} \otimes \mathbf{e}_i$$

for any $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and any $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. This implies immediately that as a $R_{n,0}[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n]$ -module, $\mathrm{gr}_\bullet \widetilde{M}_0$ is isomorphic to

$$(R_{n,0}[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n] / (x_1^{b_1} \cdots x_l^{b_l} \xi_1, \theta_1 \xi_j - \theta_j \xi_1; j = 2, \dots, n))^{\oplus d}.$$

Hence, $\mathrm{ZCar}(M)$ is exactly the same as $\mathrm{ZCar}'(M)$. $\square$

3.3.2. Remark. If we start with M having good formal structure at each closed point of X , we may simplify the proof of Theorem 3.1.4 by skipping the argument at the generic points of D , because we have already matched the multiplicity here.

3.4. Local calculation in the clean case. Now, we prove Proposition 3.2.6 under the cleanness condition. We assume that k is algebraically closed in this subsection. We start by recalling the setup.

3.4.1. Setup. For notational convenience, we denote $\mathbf{t} = x_1^{b_1} \cdots x_m^{b_m}$. Let M be a finite differential module over $R_{n,m}$ or rank d . Assume that $M \otimes F_{\underline{r}}$ has pure partially intrinsic radii $e^{-b_1 r_1 - \cdots - b_m r_m}$ for all $\underline{r} \in [0, \infty)^m \times \{0\}^{n-m}$, and there exist $\underline{\theta} = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) \in k^n \setminus \{0\}$ and a local ring $\mathfrak{R}$ finite over $k[[x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n]]$ such that

$$\mathbf{t} \cdot \mathrm{Ref}^\sharp(M \otimes F_{\underline{r}}; i) \equiv \theta_1 \frac{dx_1}{x_1} + \cdots + \theta_m \frac{dx_m}{x_m} + \theta_{m+1} dx_{m+1} + \cdots + \theta_n dx_n \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{R}}}$$

for any i and any $\underline{r} \in (0, \infty)^m \times \{0\}^{n-m}$.

We write $\Delta_j = x_j \partial_j$ if $j \leq m$ and $\Delta_j = \partial_j$ if $j > m$. Also, we denote $\omega_j = \frac{dx_j}{x_j}$ if $j \leq m$ and $\omega_j = dx_j$ if $j > m$.

3.4.2. Lattice over $R_{n,0}$. Denote $M_{(i)} = M \otimes F_{(i)}$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$. By [Xi09+, Lemma 1.4.14], we may find a norm $|\cdot|_{M_{(i)}}$ on $M_{(i)}$ such that $|\Delta_j|_{M_{(i)}} \leq |x_i|_{F_{(i)}}^{-b_i}$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$. Define

$$M_0 = \{x \in M \mid |x|_{M_{(i)}} \leq 1 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, m\}.$$

3.4.3. Lemma. *The $R_{n,0}$ -module M_0 is finite over $R_{n,0}$, generically of rank d ; it generates M over $R_{n,m}$.*

Proof. We first prove finite generation over $R_{n,0}$. Note that M is projective over $R_{n,m}$. There exists a finite (projective) module M' over $R_{n,m}$ such that $\tilde{M} = M \oplus M'$ is finite and free over $R_{n,m}$; let $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_s$ denote a basis. Assign $M' \otimes F_{(i)}$ any $F_{(i)}$ -norm $|\cdot|_{M'_{(i)}}$ which induces an $F_{(i)}$ -norm $|\cdot|_{\tilde{M}_{(i)}}$ on $\tilde{M}_{(i)} = \tilde{M} \otimes F_{(i)}$. It suffices to show that $\tilde{M}_0 := \{x \in \tilde{M} \mid |x|_{\tilde{M}_{(i)}} \leq 1 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, m\}$ is finite over $R_{n,0}$ because M_0 is a submodule of $\tilde{M}_0$. Write $\tilde{M}'_0 = \bigoplus_{l=1}^s R_{n,0} \mathbf{e}_l$ by choosing some basis. For any i , consider a different norm $|\cdot|'_{\tilde{M}_{(i)}}$ by taking $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_s$ to be an orthonormal basis; this is (topologically) equivalent to $|\cdot|_{\tilde{M}_{(i)}}$. In particular, there exists $N_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $|x|'_{\tilde{M}_{(i)}} \leq |x_i|_{F_{(i)}}^{-N_i} \cdot |x|_{\tilde{M}_{(i)}}$ for any $x \in \tilde{M}_{(i)}$. This implies that $\tilde{M}_0 \subseteq x_1^{N_1} \cdots x_m^{N_m} \tilde{M}'_0$. Hence $\tilde{M}_0$ is finite, so is M_0 .

For the rest of the statement, pick any finitely generated $R_{n,0}$ -submodule M'_0 of M such that it generates M . Then $|M'_0|_{(i)}$ is bounded above for any i . In particular, this implies that $x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_m^{a_m} M'_0 \subseteq M_0$ for some $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{N}$ and hence M_0 generates M over $R_{n,m}$ and has generic rank d . $\square$

3.4.4. Lemma. *For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ and any j , we have $\Delta_j(\mathbf{t}^{-\alpha} M_0) \subseteq \mathbf{t}^{-\alpha-1} M_0$.*

Proof. This follows immediately from the fact that $|\Delta_j|_{M_{(i)}} \leq |x_i|_{F_{(i)}}^{-b_i}$ for any Δ_j . $\square$

3.4.5. Filtration on $\widetilde{M}_0$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $b_1, \dots, b_l > 0$ and $b_{l+1} = \dots = b_m = 0$. By Proposition 3.4.6 below, we have $\widetilde{M}_0 = \mathcal{D}_X^{\log} \cdot M_0 = R_{n,l} \otimes_{R_{n,0}} M_0$. We provide $\widetilde{M}_0$ with the following filtration: $\text{fil}_\alpha \widetilde{M}_0 = 0$ if $\alpha < 0$ and $\text{fil}_\alpha \widetilde{M}_0 = \mathbf{t}^{-\alpha} M_0$ if $\alpha \geq 0$. Then we have

$$\text{gr}_\alpha \widetilde{M}_0 = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \alpha < 0, \\ M_0 & \text{if } \alpha = 0, \\ \bigoplus_{i=1}^d R_{n,0}/(\mathbf{t}) \otimes_{R_{n,0}} \mathbf{t}^{-\alpha} M_0 & \text{if } \alpha > 0. \end{cases}$$

3.4.6. Proposition. *If $\theta_j \neq 0$ for some j , then we have $R_{n,0} \cdot \Delta_j(\mathbf{t}^{-\alpha} M_0) = \mathbf{t}^{-\alpha-1} M_0$, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$. As a consequence, $\text{fil}_\bullet \widetilde{M}_0$ is a good filtration.*

Proof. The hypothesis of the lemma already implies that $b_1, \dots, b_m$ are not all zero. Without loss of generality, we assume that $b_1 > 0$. Let $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{t}^{-\alpha} M_0$. Let $M'_{(1)}$ denote the ∂_j -differential submodule of $M_{(1)}$ generated by $\mathbf{e}$. Now, we take the log-structure to be $\text{Log} = \{\partial_j\}$ if $j \leq m$ and to be $\text{Log} = \emptyset$ if $j > m$. Then $M'_{(1)}$ has pure partially intrinsic ∂_j -radii $|x_1|^{-b_1}$ and any refined partially intrinsic radii is of the form $\mathbf{t}^{-1} \theta' \omega_j$, where $\theta' \in \mathfrak{R}_1$ for some local ring $\mathfrak{R}_1$ finite over $k[[x_2, \dots, x_n]]$ and $\theta' \equiv \theta_j \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{R}_1}}$. We write the twisted polynomial associated to $\mathbf{e}$ with respect to the differential operator Δ_j (not ∂_j) as $X^s + a_1 X^{s-1} + \dots + a_s$, where $a_1, \dots, a_s \in F_{(1)}$. By [Xi09+, Remark 1.3.29], we may apply [Xi09+, Corollary 1.3.13] to the differential operator Δ_j (note that $|\Delta_j|_{F_{(1)}} \leq 1$); from this we know that

$$\mathbf{t}^i a_i - (-\theta_j)^i \in x_1 \mathcal{O}_{F_{(1)}} + (x_2, \dots, x_n) k[[x_2, \dots, x_n]]$$

for any $i = 1, \dots, s$. Now, by the definition of twisted polynomial, we have $\mathbf{t}^{s-1} \Delta_j^s \mathbf{e} + \mathbf{t}^{s-1} a_1 \Delta_j^{s-1} \mathbf{e} + \dots + \mathbf{t}^{s-1} a_s \mathbf{e} = 0$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} -\mathbf{t}^{-1} (-\theta_j)^s \mathbf{e} &= \mathbf{t}^{s-1} \Delta_j^s \mathbf{e} + \mathbf{t}^{s-1} a_1 \Delta_j^{s-1} \mathbf{e} + \dots + \mathbf{t}^{s-1} a_s \mathbf{e} - \mathbf{t}^{-1} (-\theta_j)^s \mathbf{e} \\ &= \mathbf{t}^{s-1} \Delta_j^s \mathbf{e} + \mathbf{t}^{s-1} a_1 \Delta_j^{s-1} \mathbf{e} + \dots + \mathbf{t}^{-1} (\mathbf{t}^s a_s - (-\theta_j)^s) \mathbf{e} \end{aligned}$$

We observe that the last term of the equation above belongs to

$$(x_1 \mathcal{O}_{F_{(1)}} + (x_2, \dots, x_n) k[[x_2, \dots, x_n]]) \cdot \mathbf{t}^{-\alpha-1} M_0,$$

and, by Lemma 3.4.4, we have, if $j > m$

$$\mathbf{t}^{s-1} a_i \Delta_j^{s-i} \mathbf{e} = \mathbf{t}^i a_i \Delta_j^{s-i} (\mathbf{t}^{s-i-1} \mathbf{e}) \in (x_1 \mathcal{O}_{F_1} + k[[x_2, \dots, x_n]]) \cdot \Delta_j(\mathbf{t}^{-\alpha} M_0),$$

and if $j \leq m$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{t}^{s-1} a_i \Delta_j^{s-i} \mathbf{e} &= \mathbf{t}^i a_i \Delta_j (\mathbf{t}^{s-i-1} (\Delta_j^{s-i-1} + (s-i-1) \Delta_j^{s-i-2} + (s-i-1)(s-i-2) \Delta_j^{s-i-3} + \dots) \mathbf{e}) \\ &\in (x_1 \mathcal{O}_{F_{(1)}} + k[[x_2, \dots, x_n]]) \cdot \Delta_j(\mathbf{t}^{-\alpha} M_0). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we may write $-\mathbf{t}^{-1}(-\theta_j)^s \mathbf{e}$ as $\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3$, where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{e}_1 &\in x_1 \mathcal{O}_{F(1)} \cdot \mathbf{t}^{-\alpha-1} M_0, \\ \mathbf{e}_2 &\in (x_2, \dots, x_n) k[[x_2, \dots, x_n]] \cdot \mathbf{t}^{-\alpha-1} M_0, \\ \mathbf{e}_3 &\in k[[x_2, \dots, x_n]] \cdot \Delta_j(\mathbf{t}^{-\alpha} M_0).\end{aligned}$$

Viewing $\mathbf{e}_1 = -\mathbf{t}^{-1}(-\theta_j)^s \mathbf{e} - \mathbf{e}_2 - \mathbf{e}_3$ forces $\mathbf{e}_1 \in M$ and $|\mathbf{e}_1|_{M(l)} \leq |x_l|^{-(\alpha+1)b_l}$ for $l = 2, \dots, n$. Moreover, we know that $|\mathbf{e}_1|_{M(1)} \leq |x_1|^{-(\alpha+1)b_1-1}$, yielding $\mathbf{e}_1 \in x_1 \cdot \mathbf{t}^{-\alpha-1} M_0$. Since $\mathbf{e}$ is arbitrary and $\theta_j \neq 0$, we conclude that

$$\mathbf{t}^{-\alpha-1} M_0 \subseteq R_{n,0} \cdot \Delta_j(\mathbf{t}^{-\alpha} M_0) + (x_1, \dots, x_n) \mathbf{t}^{-\alpha-1} M_0.$$

The proposition follows by Nakayama's lemma. $\square$

3.4.7. Corollary. *If $\theta_j \neq 0$ for some j , Δ_j induces an $R_{n,0}$ -linear isomorphism $\mathbf{t}^{-\alpha} M_0 / \mathbf{t}^{-\alpha+1} M_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{t}^{-\alpha-1} M_0 / \mathbf{t}^{-\alpha} M_0$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$. As a consequence, $\text{gr}_{\bullet} \widetilde{M}_0$ is isomorphic to $M_0 \otimes_{R_{n,0}} R_{n,0}[\xi_j] / (\mathbf{t} \xi_j)$ as an $R_{n,0}[\xi_j]$ -module.*

Proof. The first statement follows from Proposition 3.4.6 and the fact that $\Delta_j(\mathbf{t}^{-\alpha} R_{n,0}) \subseteq \mathbf{t}^{-\alpha} R_{n,0}$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$. The second statement follows immediately. $\square$

3.4.8. Proof of Proposition 3.2.6. Keep the notation as before. First of all, if $b_1 = \dots = b_m = 0$, $\widetilde{M}_0$ is coherent and the filtration is trivial. Obviously, $\text{ZCar}(M) = d \cdot [X]$.

Now, we assume that $\underline{b} \neq \underline{0}$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $b_1 > 0$. As above, we have a good filtration on $\widetilde{M}_0$. Fix j such that $\theta_j \neq 0$. By an easy commutative algebra Lemma 3.4.9 below, we need only to show that, for any $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, the action of $\theta_i \xi_j - \theta_j \xi_i$ is nilpotent on $\text{gr}_{\bullet} \widetilde{M}_0 / (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ as an $R_{n,0}[\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n]$ -module. Let $\Delta := \theta_i \Delta_j - \theta_j \Delta_i$. Recall that $\Delta_j : \text{gr}_{\alpha} \widetilde{M}_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{gr}_{\alpha+1} \widetilde{M}_0$ is an isomorphism. So, in explicit terms, we need only to show that, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $\mathbf{e} \in \text{fil}_{\alpha} \widetilde{M}_0$, $\Delta^d(\mathbf{e}) \subseteq (x_1, \dots, x_n) \text{fil}_{\alpha+d} M_0$.

We only consider the differential operators ∂_i and ∂_j . Now, we take the log-structure to be $\text{Log} = \{\partial_1, \dots, \partial_m\} \cap \{\partial_i, \partial_j\}$. As in previous lemma, we consider $M \otimes F_{(1)}$, which has pure partially intrinsic radii $|x_1|^{-b_1}$ and any refined partially intrinsic radii is of the form $\mathbf{t}^{-1} \vartheta$, where $\vartheta \in \mathfrak{R}_1$ for some local ring $\mathfrak{R}_1$ finite over $k[[x_2, \dots, x_n]]$ and $\vartheta \equiv \theta_{j_1} \omega_{j_1} + \theta_{j_2} \omega_{j_2}$ modulo $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{R}_1}$.

We claim that if we view $M \otimes F_{(1)}$ as a Δ -differential module over $F_{(1)}$ such that any Jordan-Hölder factor either has Δ -radii $> |x_1|^{-b_1}$, or has Δ -radii $|x_1|^{-b_1}$ and its refined Δ -radii lies in $\mathbf{t}^{-1} \mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{R}_1}$ for some local ring $\mathfrak{R}_1$ finite over $k[[x_2, \dots, x_n]]$. Indeed, we may first apply Proposition 2.1.10(iii) to reduce to the case when $M \otimes F_{(1)}$ has pure refined partially intrinsic radii. Then we apply [Xi09+, Theorem 1.4.20] to conclude. Strictly speaking, Δ is not a differential operator of rational type, but one uses [Xi09+, Remark 1.4.22] and the fact that $|\Delta|_{F(1)} = 1$.

As above, we now pick any $\mathbf{e} \in \text{fil}_{\alpha} \widetilde{M}_0 = \mathbf{t}^{-\alpha} M_0$. It generates a Δ -differential submodule of $M \otimes F_{(1)}$. Let $X^s + a_1 X^{s-1} + \dots + a_s$ denote the twisted polynomial associated to $\mathbf{e}$ with respect to the differential operator Δ . By the claim above and [Xi09+, Corollary 1.3.13] (using the version described in [Xi09+, Remark 1.3.29]), we know that

$$\mathbf{t}^i a_i \in x_1 \mathcal{O}_{F(1)} + (x_2, \dots, x_n) k[[x_2, \dots, x_n]]$$

for any $i = 1, \dots, s$. This implies that

$$\Delta^s(\mathbf{e}) = -a_1 \Delta^{s-1}(\mathbf{e}) - \dots - a_s \mathbf{e} \in (x_1 \mathcal{O}_{F(1)} + (x_2, \dots, x_n) k[[x_2, \dots, x_n]]) \mathbf{t}^{-\alpha-s} M_0.$$

Therefore, we can write $\Delta^s(\mathbf{e})$ as $\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2$ with

$$\mathbf{e}_1 \in x_1 \mathcal{O}_{F(1)} \cdot \mathbf{t}^{-\alpha-s} M_0, \text{ and } \mathbf{e}_2 \in (x_2, \dots, x_n) k[[x_2, \dots, x_n]] \cdot \mathbf{t}^{-\alpha-s} M_0.$$

Writing $\mathbf{e}_1 = \Delta^s(\mathbf{e}) - \mathbf{e}_2$ forces $\mathbf{e}_1 \in M$ and $|\mathbf{e}_1|_{M(l)} \leq |x_l|^{-(\alpha+s)b_l}$ for $l = 2, \dots, n$, yielding $\mathbf{e}_1 \in x_1 \cdot \mathbf{t}^{-\alpha-s} M_0$. Hence, we have $\Delta^s(\mathbf{e}) \in (x_1, \dots, x_n) \mathbf{t}^{-\alpha-s} M_0$, which trivially implies that

$$\Delta^d(\mathbf{e}) \in \Delta^{d-s}((x_1, \dots, x_n) \mathbf{t}^{-\alpha-s} M_0) \subseteq (x_1, \dots, x_n) \mathbf{t}^{-\alpha-d} M_0.$$

This finish the proof of Proposition 3.2.6.

3.4.9. Lemma. *Let R be a noetherian ring and let N be a finite R -module. Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a prime ideal of R . Let $r \in R$ be an element such that $r^d N \subseteq \mathfrak{p}N$ for some positive integer d . Then $\text{Supp}(N) \cap \overline{\{\mathfrak{p}\}}$ is contained in the closed subset $Z(r)$ defined by r .*

Proof. Pick $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Supp}(N) \cap \overline{\{\mathfrak{p}\}}$. If $r \notin \mathfrak{m}R_{\mathfrak{m}}$, $r \in R_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\times}$ the condition would imply that $N_{\mathfrak{m}} = r^d N_{\mathfrak{m}} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}N_{\mathfrak{m}} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}N_{\mathfrak{m}}$. By Nakayama's lemma, $N_{\mathfrak{m}} = 0$, which is a contradiction. Hence, $r \in \mathfrak{m}R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ and the lemma follows. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [AS] Ahmed Abbes and Takeshi Saito, Ramification and cleanliness, [Arxiv:1007.3873](#).
- [Alg-D] Armand Borel et al, *Algebraic $\mathcal{D}$ -modules*. Perspectives in Mathematics, 2. Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1987.
- [BC] Alexander Braverman and Tatyana Chmutova, *Lectures on algebraic $\mathcal{D}$ -modules*, available at www.math.harvard.edu/~gaitsgde/grad_2009/Dmod_brav.pdf
- [Eis95] David Eisenbud, *Commutative algebra: with a view toward algebraic geometry*, volume 150 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [Ful98] William Fulton, *Intersection theory*. Second edition. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998. xiv+470 pp.
- [HTT08] Ryoshi Hotta, Kiyoshi Takeuchi, and Toshiyuki Tanisaki, *$\mathcal{D}$ -modules, perverse sheaves, and representation theory*. Translated from the 1995 Japanese edition by Takeuchi. Progress in Mathematics, **236**. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2008.
- [Kato94] Kazuya Kato, Class field theory, $\mathcal{D}$ -modules, and ramification on higher-dimensional schemes I, *Amer. J. Math.* **116** (1994), no. 4, 757–784.
- [Katz90] Nicholas M. Katz, *Exponential sums and differential equations*. Annals of Mathematics Studies, **124**. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1990.
- [Ked10a] Kiran S. Kedlaya, p -adic differential equations, *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics* **125**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2010.
- [Ked10b] Kiran S. Kedlaya, Good formal structures for flat meromorphic connections, I: Surfaces, *Duke Mathematical Journal* **154** (2010), 343–418.
- [Ked11] Kiran S. Kedlaya, Good formal structures for flat meromorphic connections, II: Excellent schemes, [arXiv:1001.0544v2](#) (2010); to appear in *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*.
- [KX10] Kiran S. Kedlaya and Liang Xiao, Differential modules on p -adic polyannuli, *Journal de l'Institut de Math. de Jussieu* **9** (2010), 155–201; errata at math.mit.edu/~kedlaya/papers.
- [Lau83] Gérard Laumon, Sur la catégorie dérivée des $\mathcal{D}$ -modules filtrés, in *Algebraic Geometry*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. **1016** (Springer, Berlin, 1983), 151–273.
- [Mo08+] Takuro Mochizuki, Wild Harmonic Bundles and Wild Pure Twistor $\mathcal{D}$ -modules, [arXiv:0803.1344](#).
- [Xi09+] Liang Xiao, On refined ramification filtrations in the equal characteristic case, [arXiv:0911.1802](#).